

The Millennium Prizes are Propaganda and Misdirection

by Miles Mathis

First published March 2, 2025

Due to the million dollar prize offered for solution to each of these seven math problems, as well as due to promotion by the media and Hollywood (see for example the film *Gifted*, which promoted the importance of these problems, specifically the Navier-Stokes problem), they have diverted attention away from much more important problems and *much* more important and beautiful solutions.

We can see this most easily by looking at the only physics problem on the list, on the Yang-Mills Mass Gap. This isn't really the request for a mathematical solution to a problem, it is the request for proof the Yang-Mills Theory even “exists and satisfies the standard of rigor that characterizes contemporary [mathematical physics](#)”. That is pretty much an empty request by itself, since the standard of rigor that currently characterizes physics is about nil. If physics currently had any rigor at all the Yang-Mills theory would not have stood for 72 years in such an embarrassing state they would have to beg for proof it exists in the year 2025. Normally, physical theories are proved or at least confirmed or non-confirmed not by mathematical proofs, but by physical data. The outcome of experiments. None of the experiments in physics since 1953 have proved or even indicated the Yang-Mills Theory, rather the reverse. Thousands of experiments have *disproved* the theory, as I have shown in [hundreds of papers on the charge field](#). Remember this from [my last paper on the strong force](#) in 2023:

As Katie McCormick at *Quanta Magazine* refreshingly admitted in her opening paragraph: “A new measurement of the strong nuclear force, which binds protons and neutrons together, confirms previous hints of an uncomfortable truth: [We still don’t have a solid theoretical grasp of even the simplest nuclear systems.](#)”

That was in response to Bacca et al's *PRL* paper on the failure of Weinberg's effective field theory.

My work of the past two decades has utterly destroyed not only Yang-Mills [but the strong force itself](#). Yang-Mills uses non-Abelian Lie groups to try to explain the strong force interactions, but since the strong force was dreamed up from nothing and doesn't exist, [this work was all utterly wasted](#). They were trying to write equations for isospin conservation, but isospin was also dreamed up from nothing. All of nuclear physics has been a fantasy for a hundred years, since they haven't understood the nucleus channels charge. Not only do they not understand *how* it does, they don't understand that it does so at all. So they have had to try to explain nuclear forces in other ways.

The big problem was that they rushed all these theories, having to come up with them without any data. And without any visualization skills. With almost nothing to go on, and no understanding of charge, they were forced to manufacture all these fields from nothing, including the strong force and the weak force. [They fabricated a bunch of particles like quarks](#) and then fabricated various gauge groups and operators to describe their imaginary interactions. It has been an unmitigated disaster for physics, since these theories made people famous and their fame then locked these horrible theories into place. All

effort was made to verify and expand them and no effort was made to question or improve them. Which is why we still have a million dollar prize for verifying Yang-Mills, but no prize for proving it is wrong, as I have. They don't want that, since it was set in stone back in the 1950s. Thousands of people have built careers on it and a disproof of Yang-Mills should blow all that into dust.

And it has. **I have blown all that into dust**, but they don't want you to know that, which is why they spend all this time and money promoting Yang-Mills, the strong force, quarks, and all the rest of that garbage, and anti-promoting me. If they mention me at all, they don't tell you I have diagramed the nucleus or achieved unification; they tell you I have a big ego and bad hair or something.

Where exactly did I prove this? Most importantly in [my paper on diagraming the atomic nucleus](#), where I actually diagram many important nuclei. I have done many more since then, as well as diagraming molecules. Those papers prove that nuclear and inter-molecular forces are EM forces caused by charge channeling by the nucleus, destroying at the ground level not only the strong force and the weak force, but chromodynamics, and even electron orbital theory. I have now overwritten and corrected nearly all of quantum mechanics, back to the time of Bohr and before.

Which obviously is far more important than any of these pathetic Millennium problems, or all of them together. The P or notP computing problem doesn't even register compared to diagraming the nucleus, does it? And diagraming the nucleus is just one of [dozens of huge problems I have solved](#), including unification, superposition, Bode, core theory, transport in plants, NMR, brightness, foundation of calculus, orbital equations, the Lagrangian, electron bonding, solar cycles, rainbows, the fine structure constant, and many more.

So again, I ask why you see such incredible promotion of these piddly Claymath problems, and absolutely no hint from the mainstream my far more important and interesting solutions exist? Science as it currently exists is no longer science, it is an institutionalized crime *against* science, and my story proves that by itself.