SWPC/NOAA Predicts There Will be NO Cycle 26



SPACE WEATHER PREDICTION CENTER
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Monday, October 02, 2023 04:06:32 UT

HOME | ABOUT SPACE WEATHER | PRODUCTS AND DATA | DASHBOARDS | MEDIA AND RESOURCES | SUBSCRIBE | ANNUAL MEETING | FEEDBACK

Home > Products and Data > Forecasts > Predicted Sunspot Number And Radio Flux

Search

CURRENT SPACE WEATHER CONDITIONS on NOAA Scales

R S G

PREDICTED SUNSPOT NUMBER AND RADIO FLUX

Date	Sunspot Number Predicted	Sunspot Number High	Sunspot Number Low	10.7 cm Radio Flux Predicted	10.7 cm Radio Flux High	10.7 cm Radio Flux Low
2038-01	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-02	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-03	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-04	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-05	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-06	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-07	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-08	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-09	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-10	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-11	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2038-12	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2039-01	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2039-02	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2039-03	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2039-04	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7
2039-05	0.1	10.1	0	67.8	76.8	67.7

by Miles Mathis

October 1, 2023

A reader just sent me to this strange prediction page at NOAA, where they seem to be predicting no sunspots in Cycle 26.

According to their chart, sunspots will remain about where they are now for several years, with a small second peak to 114.6 in the summer of 2025, falling into the 90s in 2027, and hitting 10 by the end of 2031 and the end of Cycle 25. After that they will bottom out altogether, soon dropping to near zero and staying there until 2040, when the chart ends. Which means no Cycle 26.

I am not the only one who has noticed this. Cap Allon at Electroverse reported on it on September 7. He too found it shocking, shocking enough to contact NOAA. No response as of October 1. He rightly points out it doesn't look like an empty chart for Cycle 26, indicating no prediction, since they give us numbers in all columns. That isn't an empty chart. Allon and others are using that to spread fear, and my guess is that is exactly what NOAA is up to, probably at the behest of Air Force, who kidnapped everyone in the field about four years ago and replaced them with zombies. They tried to scare us with a grand solar minimum (no solar activity for a long time) starting in about 2017, ramping up the fear porn until I came along and popped that bubble. When Cycle 25 caught fire in 2021, rising twice as fast and as high as everyone was predicting, that project exploded.* But we are now seeing that was just temporary. Now that we are between peaks in this cycle, with numbers falling a bit until 2025—even according to my own prediction—these creeps have rushed back in to promote the fear again, doing so by dredging up this grand solar minimum nonsense again.

But have no fear, since the real numbers are following my predictions, not theirs. The second peak of Cycle 25 won't come until the end of 2026, and although minimum will indeed be in 2031, it won't be as bad this time as it was during the last minimum in 2018—which was brutal. It won't drop below about 30. And Cycle 26 will be the largest since the 1950s, bringing new prosperity and energy—which will be a knife to the heart of the Air Force and those behind them.



As you see from my chart, they are also lying about current monthly numbers, which aren't running below 120, they are running at about 180. The Air Force has been purposely miscounting spots for several years, to hide the fact they are matching my chart and not theirs. The mainstream has been forced to admit that in most places, which has reported sunspots running WAY above all mainstream predictions over the past three years. We have also seen that my spikes have matched the reported spikes, confirming my planetary conjunction model. But they don't want you to know that. They are in a panic, and even though their own numbers are way above their predictions, their own numbers still aren't right. Each month the Air Force finds a way to shave about 40% the sunspots off the totals, making it look like they are missing their own predictions by only 30%, when they are actually missing by 100%.

Another thing is strange, and that is we have some old predictions on this chart at SWPC, back to April of this year. For instance, they predicted 122 for June, but don't admit they were way off. The reported number for June was 163.4, a miss of almost 25%. Why is that strange? It seems pretty good, right? Well. . . no, because they keep re-predicting every few months, to adjust for big spikes they didn't see before. For instance, there was a big spike at the end of 2022 they didn't see coming, though you can see it on my chart. I predicted it because it was on my sine waves, published here. But they don't have anything like that since they don't know what causes the Solar Cycles, and admit it. Anyway, after that big spike they adjusted up their predictions for the rest of the Cycle. They have already done that about five times over the past three years, as my predictions hit and theirs crash and burn. Since their last re-prediction was early this year, they only had to see into the future a few months to predict June, but they couldn't even extrapolate that far, missing by a large margin. It should also be easy for them to predict these numbers, since their controllers at Air Force are just making them up. I don't see why they don't just ask the boys in Air Force what the numbers are going to be. That way they could hit them on the head every time. I guess that would be a little too obvious, even for them.

Besides, as I have already said, even that 163 number is way too low. If you have been following the real sunspot photos like I have over the past year, you know the actual monthly averages should be more like 180. June should have been well over 200, which means even I got it too low. I didn't

actually calculate a number for June, since the only calculated points on my chart are the circled ones. When I am not using major conjunctions to get a number, my chart doesn't have enough resolution to calculate all monthlies. Plus, I would need to include the smaller planets as well, to hit all numbers, and I didn't do that. Still, you can see I predicted monthlies running about 180 all this year, and that is roughly what we have seen. Air Force was forced to squash those numbers using all their tricks, including counting huge spots as one, ignoring obvious spots, and illegally combining areas.

So, even if we ignore Cycle 26, this SWPC/NOAA prediction for Cycle 25 is weird enough. They are predicting almost no second peak in this cycle, although all cycles have two peaks. According to their chart at SWPC, June 2023 was the early first peak of this long cycle, and we will see nothing else like it, just a small hump in 2025, rising to 114. Doesn't seem very likely, does it? Why? Because, even if you ignore my prediction completely, their prediction makes no sense. They claim this Cycle 25 didn't start until 2020 and that it will end in 2031. But their two humps are 2023 and 2025. Do you see the problem yet? Three and a half years in the build-up and six years for the tail-off: their cycle is extremely lopsided. You will say that mine is too, though less so: three and 4.5. But in my prediction, Cycle 25 actually started back in summer of 2018. But those first two years don't appear in my chart because I published that in February 2020. No use publishing a prediction of the past. So my Cycle isn't lopsided at all. It is 4.5 in and 4.5 out, with four in between. Very long, but not lopsided. Even taking into account that the mainstream tends to miscalculate the timing of minimum, their predictions are very lopsided. You wouldn't expect sine waves to be so lopsided, even if they are caused by multiple bodies. Now that we know they are caused by the big planets, we have even more proof of that. Sine waves generated by four planets orbiting a star cannot be so lopsided.

It's true that these Cycles can be lopsided a bit, and we now have an answer for that as well: they can be quicker on the up or down side due to the differing speeds of the planets, and the longer or shorter times they take going into or out of conjunction. The mainstream doesn't even begin to have a theory for that, and I guess they pretend they have never even noticed it. But even including that variation wouldn't explain the grand lopside they have in their current prediction.

Obviously, a large part of that lopside is caused by their big fudge in pushing minimum forward with their notoriously fudgy 13-month smooth. But another problem is that they are basically stealing my first hump, which comes way before their initial prediction of maximum, and then making up a second hump two years later to go with that, lord knows why. This ends up pushing their smoothed maximum for this cycle to 2024, which even they should know doesn't work. Until very recently they were predicting 2025-26 for smoothed maximum, and were actually moving it forward to fit a stronger cycle (and to match my prediction). But after the big spike in June, it appears they made an about-face, now moving maximum backward to fit that, as you see. This is more proof they are in a panic. They are like chickens with their heads cut off, half of them running blindly north while the other blindly run south.

*For the most part, although I remember Mike Adams at NaturalNews and some other outlets continuing to push it into 2022. **Added next day**: they are still pushing it.