## What my Enemies will never Tell You

## by Miles Mathis

First published March 10, 2025

Sad that I have to be writing this in 2025, after 35 years of writing groundbreaking papers on a variety of subjects. This is for my science readers, but it transfers directly to other readers. I guess we can think of it as being for newbies to my sites, people who have only done a Google search on me, being told by nameless trolls I am a crank and a crackpot, if not worse. The mainstream has been censoring me for at least 25 years and toplisting this slander and libel because that is all they have. They can't respond to me scientifically so they resort to name-calling and other schoolyard tricks.

I will keep this very brief, just glossing the top points in my favor. You can use these points whenever you run up against one of these paid liars trying to bury me on orders of their masters.

- 1) Well, let that stand as point #1: the fact that the mainstream has responded to me like that should suggest to you that there is more going on here than you are told. The state of their argument is perhaps the best argument in my favor. And it has been going on for decades. Yahoo deleted my Geocities sites in 2003 with no warning or explanation, and all the top search engines (except maybe Yandex) are still censoring me to this day, 22 years later. I have shown that with hundreds of papers, and you can check it today if you don't believe me. Take that link or do your own. Do simultaneous general searches (without my name) on Yandex and Google on the subjects of several of my top papers on either of my sites. Yandex will likely list them on page 1, while Google will not list them at all.
- 2) Before Google started censoring me about five years ago, hundreds of my papers were superviral, outperforming Wikipedia and the Dictionary on their subjects. Don't believe me? Go here, where I have many screenshots. So when they tell you I have no support and no reach, they are lying. The truth is I am the only individual in history who has done that, outranking Wikipedia and the university sites with no institutional links and no promotion.
- 3) My first physics book was introduced by a mainstream physicist from Oxford, John Hopkins, and NASA with dozens of first-author papers. He contacted me and suggested I publish my most important papers in book form ASAP and that he would write the introduction, which he did. So why did he fall silent? Why do you think? Because he was silenced, of course. He works for these institutions and has a family, unlike me, so he can't walk around them like I can. When they told him to go silent, he did. He had thought he still had free speech, but it turned out that was just an empty claim. Yes, you have free speech, as long as you don't mind being fired and having your life ruined for it. But the thing is, in the next five years many scientists of this generation are going to be retiring, at which point they will be freer to speak. It should be interesting.
- 4) In 2015 I proved Stephen Hawking had been replaced by an impostor. Some accused me of defaming a great man, but it soon turned out I was right. In January 2018 the *Daily Mail* in London, the UK's second largest newspaper, ran this article admitting I was right. It is still up online as of today. If that theory was defamation, you would expect Hawking, his family, and his institutions and publishers, to sue the *Daily Mail*. It is far easier to prove defamation in the UK than in the US. But they didn't. Instead, they faked Hawking's death. Yes, Hawking

- "died" just two months later. You will say the dead can't sue for defamation, but since the article came out while he was alive, his family or institutions or publishers *could* sue. In the seven years since then, they haven't.
- 5) It is often claimed my theories are just handwaving, and that I offer no predictions or experimental proof. Another huge lie, and perhaps the best proof of that is my Solar Cycle theory, which came with a very bold prediction in February 2020. I not only predicted an entire Solar Cycle, I predicted many monthlies in it, to coincide with planetary conjunctions that were causing it via charge/magnetism. As of today, I have hit all six of those points in a five-year span, as well as hitting on the overall strength of the cycle. While the mainstream predicted a very weak cycle to match the last one, I predicted a cycle almost twice as strong, and was the only one to do so by 2020. I have been right and they have been very very wrong. They now admit they were very wrong, though they still haven't deigned to notice my existence. We are only halfway through the cycle, but this is already one of the greatest predictions in the history of science.
- 6) My critics always ignore my most important papers and concentrate on what they see are weaker points, like my "ego" or my hair or something. But you should know <u>I am the first to successfully diagram the atomic nucleus</u>, and that this diagramming has been confirmed many times since then, allowing me to explain many things that were previously mysterious—even to explain some things that weren't thought to be explainable. I have shown in dozens of papers how these diagrams explain later experiments, and my critics have done nothing to prove the diagrams are wrong. They haven't even tried. They just misdirect into other things.
- 7) For example, in 2012 <u>I diagrammed Sr2CuO3</u>, showing exactly how the molecule created RIX data in the now-famous experiment by Schlappa et al published in *Nature*. That is my usual procedure, so when my critics claim I don't address mainstream data or experiments, they are lying. That is practically *all* I do. I go deeply into mainstream experiments, equations, and theories and show the problems, rewriting them from the ground up.
- 8) This is also true of my unification papers, where I am the first to unify gravity and EM. I prove that Newton's gravity equation, the Lagrangian, and Maxwell's equations were already unified, without anyone knowing it. So, contrary to expectation and all previous work, I discovered unification by breaking down those old equations.
- 9) They also don't want you to know I discovered a <u>simple visual explanation of superposition</u>, because they don't want to address that paper. You will never see them mentioning that paper or linking you to it.
- 10) In March of 2014 I was the only scientist worldwide to attack the Bicep2 gravity wave claim, putting the 1000 mainstream authors through the wringer in this notorious paper. The mainstream sold it with Tiger Wood's level fanfare, claiming the Nobel among other things. By June it was dead. The team went down in flames and retracted all claims of gravity waves.
- 11) In 2012 I wrote this paper on lift, showing that historical equations and analysis were faulty, lacking a first cause—which was the rising charge field. I made a mockery of angle of attack, the Coanda Effect, and many other things. By 2020 Scientific American was admitting I was right, quoting the curator of the Air and Space Museum John Anderson that there was no agreement on the subject, and saying "no one can explain why planes stay in the air." That article is still up.
- 12) <u>In 2009 I published a paper</u> on why the singularity could not exist. Last year, Roy Kerr, one of the top dogs of black hole theory, <u>admitted I was right</u>. He didn't mention my name of course, that isn't allowed in the mainstream, instead pretending to respond to Penrose, but my readers knew what was up.
- 13) <u>In 2009 I published this paper</u> showing the atmosphere was held up by charge. Last year NASA <u>admitted I was right</u>, reporting on the existence of a "previously unknown terrestrial field as

- important as gravity that literally holds up the sky."
- 14) I have been telling my readers since before 2010 that <u>Dark Matter is just charge/light</u>, and in 2019 scientists at Lawrence Livermore <u>admitted I was right</u>. And that isn't the first big success I had on that subject. I wrote <u>a long paper in 2010</u> blowing the bullet cluster interpretation, and within a month the mainstream had dropped the interpretation, admitting it was a mess.
- 15) In the early 2000s I had already used Einstein's equivalence principle to suggest a vector reversal, allowing us to solve GR problems in 1/100<sup>th</sup> the time, in a Euclidean field. In 2015 PBS Spacetime admitted I was right, stealing the manipulation, claiming Einstein had already done it (he hadn't), and then proceeding to muck it up as only the mainstream can—using to claim gravity was illusion.
- 16) In 2012 I put the Higgs announcement through the wringer, showing it was a total conjob. By 2014 the mainstream was admitting I was right: the announcement had been rushed and there was no indication the particle found was a Higgs, a boson, or involved in mediating any forces, much less creating mass.
- 17) In 2013 I wrote a paper on Hadronization, ridiculing the mainstream rule that photons couldn't interact. Only a year later top researchers at Princeton admitted I was right, proving photons could react strongly. This should have blown all hadronization theory, as well as strong force theory, quark theory, and solid state: basically all of quantum mechanics. But they just swept it under the rug. Not only did they not admit I was right in the larger sense, they declined to add this finding to Wikipedia entries that relied on it, and failed to incorporate it into mainstream theory. They all but memoryholed it because it didn't fit their dogma.

I could go on pretty much indefinitely, but I promised I would keep this short. While they claim there is no experimental proof of my theories, there are actually thousands of experiments that indicate my theories far more strongly than mainstream theories, and I have written about hundreds of them, showing you exactly how. In fact, almost every prominent mainstream paper that makes headlines these days confirms my theory of charge recycling by the nucleus and the Earth and the Sun and destroys mainstream theory. So much so that mainstream quantum mechanics and the rest of physics is now in a freefall. If you read my papers instead of mainstream libel against me, you will soon see all the proof of that you need.