More Science Propaganda from the Alternative Press



by Miles Mathis

April 3, 2025

Look at those actors pretending to be scientists in the graphic above. You have to laugh. "I am not a physicist, but I play one online". And what are they diagramming? Looks more like blueprints for a Weeble house, with little things going through doors. Even the words are pretend words, meaning this was mocked up by an AI program that had no idea what it was really illustrating.

Why is NaturalNews' science correspondent Willow Tohi republishing mainstream physics propaganda today and scoring the lead story there, topped by that pathetic graphic? She uncritically repeats all the mainstream talking points of this latest conjob, selling a big particle breakthrough concerning the beauty baryon (which doesn't exist) and telling us we need to fund a new \$16 billion FCC collider for more such breakthroughs. Every other outlet, mainstream and alternative, is cheerleading for this, and she quotes AP, LiveScience, and Popular Mechanics. So you may wish to ask exactly in what way NaturalNews is alternative here. I have asked this before and I will ask it again: why are these places like NaturalNews and Infowars reprinting this mainstream science propaganda uncritically, without no comment or analysis? Not much of an Infowar, is it? I remind you that Infowars and Popular Mechanics were bitter enemies regarding 911 Truth, and that NaturalNews is a close ally of Infowars, with Mike Adams and Alex Jones often interviewing eachother. So why is NaturalNews now linking us to Popular Mechanics? Would I ever link to Popular Mechanics, other than to show you what liars they are? No.

So while the alternative press cheers Trump's and Musk's big government housecleaning—allegedly saving us billions in taxes—at the same time they push expensive boondoggles like these particle colliders. Which always go 20x overbudget by the way. By the time you and your European cousins get the final bill on this thing it will have ballooned to at least \$300 billion, I guarantee it. And don't forget Trump is also trying to sell you a Golden Dome or some such nonsense: a repeat of the old Star Wars boondoggle of the Reagan era. Oh, and they are faking another ride to the Moon, so dig deep in your pockets for that. Musk will be doing it all CGI, but they will still bill you as if it is real.

All for nothing, since, as I say, this beauty baryon doesn't exist. I proved that back in 2016. I also proved even earlier in 2009 that these CP violations aren't what they think, since they come right out of the ambient field. That means a Charge Conjugation/Parity Symmetry violation. They had assumed there was a charge/spin parity back to the beginning, simply based on the fact that particles should be able to spin either way. But many experiments showed that this mirror assumption didn't hold in beta decay, where one direction was preferential. I reminded them of what they should have known all along: their experiments were all on the Earth, not in intergalactic space, so they should have expected the ambient charge field here to be skewed to one direction. The galaxy, Sun, and Earth are all spinning one way and not the other, so no parity should ever have been expected. Conservation laws only apply in the absence of these local fields. I shouldn't have to be telling professional physicists that, so my assumption is these people are just selling us this nonsense on purpose, to drain the treasuries. Hardly a *outre* assumption these days.

In the article we find that the new FCC collider will arrive in two phases: in the 2040s they will focus on "precision studies" of the Higgs Boson. Except for one problem there as well: there is no such thing as a Higgs boson, and many top scientists called foul on the old claims. I destroyed the Higgs announcement back in 2012, and many in the field have since agreed with me, some even calling for Higgs to return his Nobel Prize. He died almost a year ago and of course didn't do that. But although my criticism was technical in parts, it is worth repeating something everyone can understand: his Nobel Prize was rushed to him less than a year after the announcement of discovery of the particle, which went completely against precedent. The prize in physics used to be let sit for many years after a claim of discovery, to allow other scientists to check it and test it. Major claims like this take time to settle, and the claim of the Higgs didn't settle well at all. Not only did I blow it to smithereens almost immediately, but by 2014 Frandsen et al had washed it down the drain in PRD. Of course all that was ignored and buried by the science propagandists, who need these sexy pursuits to justify raping the treasuries of the world decade by decade. But it is funny Mike Adams and Alex Jones don't know that, right? Just slipped by them, I guess.

In the second phase of the FCC out to 2070, its power will be boosted to 100TeV, or seven times what the LHC is capable of. Wow, right? Well, no, not really, since there is no reason they need 100TeV. They didn't even need the LHC at 15TeV for the Higgs, as it turns out, since it came in at a far lower energy than they had predicted, and could have been found by the previous smaller and cheaper machine (and actually was). They didn't even need 1TeV, since the Higgs was allegedly found at 125GeV, or 8 times less than that, not much above a Z-particle. Fermilab had been capable of that for many decades.

BTW, I also saw NaturalNews <u>selling soy as a "superfood"</u> a few days ago. Wow, have they gone over to the dark side! Next they will be promoting canola oil or Crisco. This after years of correctly reporting that soy was the worst thing ever, not fit to feed to hogs. And that includes organic soy.