


# Air Force Falls for my Trap: Reports Zero Sunspots





by Miles Mathis

February 24, 2026

Hahhah, they fell for it. I pissed off Air Force so much they decided to erase every single spot for three days in a row, reporting zero. They figured if they were going to fake this, why not go all the way? In for a penny, in for a pound. Good one, guys, you really showed me.

Unfortunately, they decided to do that on a day NASA was publishing these photos of the Sun:




Does that really look to you like a dormant Sun, with zero activity? I see *at least* nine major active areas that should yield spots, maybe even large ones, with more HUGE ones on the left limb coming


up. But I guess they think you are blind. Or they just don't care.

This may not actually be aimed at me. It may be aimed at the mainstream crybabies looking over their shoulders at Air Force and screaming “why don't you *do* something!” As if Air Force can just wave a magic wand and kill the Sun. What I wouldn't give to be a fly on the wall there. A magnificent, epoch-making, epoch-ending meltdown. We will be sweeping up the pieces for decades. I hope these people had their health insurance paid up, since the therapy bills are about to go through the roof.


**Update a week later:** I waited to get the full February numbers to round this out, so I am back to strengthen this analysis even more. To start with, I hadn't realized at first they reported zero **for three straight days**, so I updated that above. The mainstream cheat got three times bigger. Let's look at some more graphics from that 3-day period.



Those are actual photos of the Sun on 2/22, the first of the three zero days. I shouldn't have to tell you, but the Sun doesn't look like that in any color on zero-spot dormant days. There is clearly huge activity on both limbs, especially the top right limb, but also definite activity facing us, left of the big coronal hole. You would expect all those bright white areas to yield spots. So let's see how the Air Force deals with that area upper right limb.



STAR coronal hole and active region map (solen.info)  
Image sources: SDO (HMIF, HMI, AIA 211) at 23:45 UT on February 22, 2026





STAR coronal hole and active region map (solen.info)  
Image sources: SDO (HMIF, HMI, AIA 211) at 23:45 UT on February 23, 2026

That's 2/22 and 2/23, and you see they don't even give that area a number. 14377 is to the left of that, and they pretend it has rotated out of view on 2/23, though the Sun doesn't rotate fast enough to take 14377 out of view in one day. S11869 should also have some spots, as we just saw from the photos, so let's go to details of those two regions.

Active region	SWPC date numbered STAR detected	Spot count			Location at midnight Area	Classification		SDO / HMI 4K continuum Image with magnetic polarity overlays	
		SWPC	STAR	USAF 2K 1K		SWPC	STAR	Current	Previous
14375	2026.02.10 2026.02.11				N17W36	0005	AXX		
14376	2026.02.12 2026.02.14				N13W55				
14377	2026.02.13 2026.02.14		2		N07W46	0003	AXX		
S11859	2026.02.13				S09W29				
S11860	2026.02.14				S14W44				
S11866	2026.02.16		1		N19W25	0002	AXX		
S11869	2026.02.17		10	5	S08E04	0020	BXO		

Miniaturized inset photos that tell us almost nothing. Though we see STAR is reporting 10 spots in 2K in S11866 and a couple in 14377. I also point out that Air Force has cut off 14377 very close on its right edge, ignoring that region to the right of it which is not even given a number. But in the photos, we can see lots of activity over there. This is how they are erasing above 100 spots on these days, just as on the previous days. But given the new levels of fraud, I can no longer estimate the actual number erased.

And what did they do on 2/24 with those active areas rotating into view on the left limb?



As you see, they again ignored them. We see the upper region tagged a S11880, but the one below that isn't even given a number, though we see it as a huge white spot which should contain a large black sunspot. So let us go to the insets:



S11880	2026.02.23	2	2	N27E53	0006	AXX	
S11881	2026.02.23	6	3	S23E13	0015	AXX	
S11882	2026.02.24	1	1	S17E84	0010	HRX	

STAR is reporting, but Air Force is hiding, publishing miniatures that don't match the activity in the photos. We also see big activity still on the right limb, right of the hole, but Air Force doesn't even give it a region or an inset.

Yes, they erased 100-200 spots each and every day during February, and I caught them at it red-handed, using a whole bag of tricks. They reported a monthly average of 73.5, when it should be over 200, possibly *above* my prediction. If I had any help here, we could do our own counts each and every day, but I don't. Steven has been busy with his family, and everyone else is up in some tree I guess. I have had a few confirmations from private astronomers that there have indeed been many unreported spots, but since they haven't supplied me with any photos or allowed me to use their names, it doesn't help me much.




March 6, 2026: It continues:



14378	2026.02.25 2026.02.25	6	27	14	N16W29	0230	CNO	DHI		
14383	2026.02.25 2026.02.01	8	3		N17W64	0040		CRO		
14380	2026.02.25 2026.02.26	15	6		S21W17	0025		BXO		
14381	2026.02.27 2026.02.27	7	41	18	S08E02	0170	DAI	EKI		

As you see from their own images, they are still running all gambits, including counting gigantic spots as 6 that should be about 50, ignoring many regions—including all regions on or near the limbs—and refusing to split that last region into two, as they should. Today they are ignoring the entire southern hemisphere. Their own pictures published at Solen.info (not all shown above) indicate a spot number of 240 on a day they report 73, and that doesn't include the regions they neglect to list at all.

It was even worse a couple of days ago, when they were reporting about 85 for the sunspot number:



Massive activity all across the Sun, from limb to limb, indicating a sunspot number above 300, so they have erased at least 215 from the count there.