1000°C on Jupiter!

by Miles Mathis

First published October 2, 2022

My colleague Steven Oostdijk in the Netherlands (who is still being called a sockpuppet of me at Amazon 10 years later*) just alerted me to new data from Jupiter, which you can see in this Youtube video from Anton Petrov. Petrov is mainstream and is just reporting mainstream data, as soon becomes clear when he tries to sell you the idea the mainstream has an explanation for temperatures 1100 degrees higher than predicted in the atmosphere of Jupiter. The usual 1000% mainstream miss.

The mainstream had—until recently—told us Jupiter's atmosphere was at something like -70C, and now we find it averages 400C, is boosted to 700C, and sometimes hits 1000C.

Of course, we have seen this problem before with Uranus, where it was reported years ago that they were discovering temperatures of above 850C in the upper atmosphere. Back then they didn't even pretend to have an explanation for the gigantic miss, though I explained it easily as due to charge recycling in this old paper from 2013. I showed it was due to photon spin friction, or what they commonly call magnetic reconnection.

So how does the mainstream now explain Jupiter's super high temps, according to Petrov? He begins his explanation by pointing to Jupiter's permanent aurorae. He says that global winds push heat from the poles down, heating the entire atmosphere.

Already, we can see that explanation is threadbare. Aurorae are just an effect themselves. They are a pretty light show, so how could lights in the atmosphere heat an entire planet the size of Jupiter by a thousand degrees celsius? Where is the energy for all this heat coming from? In other words, the same thing that is causing the big heat is also causing the aurorae, but the aurorae cannot be the cause of the heat. It would be like me claiming I gave birth to my sister: it ignores the basic rules of causation, and well as the definitions of words.

The theory now goes even further off the beam, by suggesting that the aurorae on Jupiter are normally caused by the moon Io. Seeming to realize how pathetic that suggestion is, Petrov gets off it as quickly as possible, giving us a second possibility: "solar interaction with Jupiter's magnetosphere". Somehow, the Sun compresses the magnetosphere of Jupiter, "enhancing" the aurorae, which then cause 1000 degree celsius heating across the atmosphere.

But this too is a garbage theory that makes no sense from the first word, since the Sun cannot "interact" with Jupiter's magnetosphere, since the magnetosphere is caused by the interaction of Sun and Jupiter to start with. You see, they are theorizing some sort of secondary interaction, beyond what was already known, but giving us no mechanics of that. The magnetosphere was *already* an interaction with the

Sun, and they knew that decades ago when they were calculating probable temps for Jupiter. So if they are now proposing a second interaction, they need to tell us precisely what it is—beyond what they had before.

Notice that although Petrov doesn't say "magnetic reconnection", those words appear in his diagram at just this point. So they seem to be slipping my theory in here edgeways, without giving me any credit. As usual, they will slowburn you with it, enveloping me over several decades.

Amazingly, after leading this video by telling you scientists now understand what is going on here, at minute 5:40, Petrov admits "because this is a very recent discovery, there is still a chance that maybe something else is going to be discovered about this, and especially in regards to the mechanism responsible for creating this unusual phenomenon." Refreshingly, he then admits the mainstream knows very little about how planets create heat. Except that, in the first minute he had said that "there is now a relatively clear understanding of how these heatwaves form, how they affect the temperature of the atmosphere on Jupiter, and to some extent the scientists behind the recent study reveal the mechanism that produces all of this, something that was basically a mystery for over two decades." I guess it was hoped you would watch only the first four minutes, where all the promotion of these mainstream scientists occurred. Because, as you now see, these scientists haven't shown any mechanism at all, and the phenomenon is still a complete mystery—at least to those who refuse to read my papers.

So who are these scientists? Weirdly, Petrov gives us no links out. Before we search on that, let's ask who is Anton Petrov? Why does he have millions of hits and a scrolling lists of thousands of Patreon subscribers? The last third of this video is just a list of these names. But again, we get no answer to that. This guy with (allegedly) over 300 million total views only tells us this:

My name is Anton and I explain science, math or other complicated topics using simulations, video games and easy to understand words.

Really. Not very informative, is it? IMDB tells us he is a highschool math teacher in Toronto, but Google says his degree is in health sciences. His masters thesis was on using the Minecraft video game in teaching. So he looks like another heavily promoted spook, promoted for reasons beyond anyones ken. His English pronunciation is very poor, and he doesn't sound like a native French speaker from Quebec to me. I have spent time there. He looks Russian and of course his name is Russian. I assume he is from the families—maybe a cousin of Putin from actors equity.

The man getting most of the promotion now on this Jupiter question is James O'Donoghue of JAXA (Japan), who gave his results last week in the Europlanet Science Congress in Granada. [Is Jupiter now a Europlanet?] <u>Here is what he said there:</u>

Last year we produced – and presented at EPSC2021 – the first maps of Jupiter's upper atmosphere capable of identifying the dominant heat sources," said Dr O'Donoghue. "Thanks to these maps, we demonstrated that Jupiter's auroras were a possible mechanism that could explain these temperatures.

As usual, these people don't seem to know the definitions of words. The word "mechanism" necessarily implies a *mechanical* explanation, not just a source or location of influence. So his statement makes no sense. You can't "explain these temperatures" by saying "they come down from above and below".

I am just surprised O'Donoghue isn't borrowing tricks from his cousins in Solar theory, claiming that

the old prediction of -70C was "spot on" since it is within a thousand degrees. The Sun gets up to millions of degrees, so this thing with Jupiter is just a small miss, you know.

The reason the mainstream can't even get off the blocks in explaining this, or anything else, is that they don't have a mechanical charge field based on a real photon with real spin and real radius. <u>They don't realize all atoms and ions (nuclei) are recycling charge</u>, and that <u>charge outweighs matter by 19 to 1</u>. Nor do they understand that they have photons and antiphotons to work with. They don't understand that <u>spin is real</u>, that spins can stack, and that spins interact physically, just like gears. Since they have forbidden any work on Bode's Law, they also don't understand how the four Jovians interact. Only my readers know how that works. These mainstream physicists are still working in the gravity-only model of planetary and Solar System influence, using those old equations which haven't been improved significantly since the time of Newton—which would be like modern doctors still working with trepanation and leeches. I have long since proved we are existing in a unified field where charge is dominant, not gravity, so until they catch up they are going to continue to look like ostriches everytime they publish anything or open their mouths.

To be clear, with my theory of charge field interaction, we would *expect* heating to come down from the poles, since that is the natural locus of magnetic reconnection. That is where charge is **entering** the planet. As I have shown you many times, the basic line is in at the poles, out at the equator. So where does the reconnection come in? Don't we need two fields for reconnection? Yes, and the other field is caused by **through charge**. See my revolutionary paper on Iron and Period Four for my first in-depth explanation of that at the nuclear level. All objects more complex than an electron have a second charge path, which I call through charge: charge moving pole to pole. In some elements like Iron, the main architecture of protons creates a strong path from pole to pole, in which case you get a meeting of the two opposing streams head-to-head. This causes spin-ups and an increase in magnetism. The same thing happens at a planetary level, and all planets also have this through charge. We saw it most recently in 2018 as high-energy particles emerging mysteriously at the poles of the Earth. Which I explained here as a result of through charge.

Jupiter's largest moons do create some feedback loops that create maxima and minima in these charge streams, but the largest fluctuations should be caused by alignments with the other three Jovians. <u>I</u> have shown that the charge paths between the Jovians and the Sun, and among the Jovians themselves, drive almost everything in the Solar System, including planetary tilts and eccentricities. There I do the basic math proving it. Also see here. More recently I have proven that the Jovians cause the Solar Cycles as well. I provide the actual charts here. As some of you may know, the mainstream is now in a tizzy due to my correct prediction of the current Solar Cycle, which has never been done before. They have gone so far as to bring in the Air Force to help them fake numbers, to hide the extent of my success. This may help you understand why they are also going so far out of their way to ignore and bury me on this question of planetary temperatures, since the two questions are so closely related.

*Both Steven and I have filed multiple complaints with Amazon, asking that these fake "reviews" be taken down, but they just ignore us. Which is why I never send my readers to Amazon to buy my books there. You should buy them from me directly, or from my publishers. I haven't looked at these reviews in years, but a reader suggested I take a new look. All the negative ones are from a decade ago, seemingly from the same set of jealous boys who can't argue beyond lies and *ad homs*. Negative reviews like this have actually helped me over

the years, I think, since we see the state of my opposition. But I was surprised to find that my first book now has a 4.3 rating there, with lots of newer five-star ratings. A couple of them even point out the obvious to my detractors: how could my books be vanity projects and me a crank when this first book is introduced by a NASA and Johns Hopkins astrophysicist? If I am certifiably insane, how is it that many of my important papers came to outrank NASA and MIT on the internet on their topics? How is it that my penetration into academia and research via the internet became so forceful Google was finally asked to step in and censor me? We know this is true because while Bing and Yahoo still list many of my papers in the first five slots, sometimes above Wikipedia, Google no longer does, downlisting those papers to oblivion at the request of its masters.

This is all just more indication that I am winning. Those who were attacking me a decade ago soon slunk away in defeat, as I just discovered. Their websites have been dormant since 2013, while my influence continues to grow exponentially. Even with strong interference from Google and the government, my reach and numbers are growing every year.