


*by Miles Mathis*

*December 3, 2022*

I just found that graph at NASA, on their Marshall Solar Cycle Forecast page. It was last updated Nov. 9, 2022. I found that interesting, since as you see NASA's own scientists are ignoring the fudging from Air Force going on right now, predicting with 95% certainty we will continue the steep climb we were (admitted to be) on until about April. The gray line is data being reported by Air Force, and as you see they have faked a stall after April 2022. They were suppressing the line even before that, but after April they accelerated the suppression, because the climb was too steep nonetheless. It was destroying all their predictions and making the top scientists look stupid. It was also matching my prediction perfectly. So they are trying to force-fit the data to the green line, as you see. That would save face and match mainstream predictions going into to this cycle.

Also notice that Marshall is now predicting a maximum (monthly average) at around 200. That's where the blue line tops out. Not coincidentally, that is where my first peak tops out, though I predicted it for early 2023, not 2024. I predicted 190 for January 2023 and 240 at the end of 2026. Very widely separated peaks which will be hard to miss. Yes, that is just a month away, which is why the fudging at the Air Force has gone into overdrive since September, with them just erasing most of the face of the Sun in their published diagrams and charts. But I am not the only one who knows that, apparently, because you can see in that chart that NASA itself is saying it is 95% certain those numbers are wrong.

What do I mean? I mean just look at that graph! NASA has simply ignored reported data from Air Force, drawing the blue line above it. That is unheard of. NASA is basically saying with that graph they are 95% certain the reported gray line is false. NASA isn't just continuing on with their prediction of the future—which no longer doesn't match Air Force—they are flagrantly disregarding data already compiled and reported. That graph says NASA is 95% certain the monthly sunspot number for October was about 140, not 85. The average for November hasn't been posted yet, but it looks like the Air Force faked a fall down to about 75. But as you see, NASA is telling us they are 95% certain it was double that, at 150.

I also notice they have drawn a little hump out there in late maximum, in about October of 2025. As if they are predicting a late surge. What is that prediction based on, I have to wonder? Has NASA discovered a new method of predicting monthlies and late humps, or are they finagling McIntosh's terminator noodling to pull specific spikes out of their shorts? I don't think so. Personally, I get the feeling they—like McIntosh—have been staring at my prediction chart since February of 2020. It has infested their nightmares. And so when they are assigned the task of drawing a cycle 25 prediction they can't help but mirror my chart with theirs, sneaking in a late surge for no reason. So when I turn out to be right, they can trot out this prediction and say they predicted it, too.

**Addendum July 25, 2023**: Some are telling me I misread their graph, but here we are a year and a half later, and it no longer matters, since the green line prediction has been proved to be a complete failure.




That is the new graph updated July 7, 2023, with the mean value from June being reported as about 150. It was already 145 back in December of 2022. But even those numbers are far too low, being suppressed on purpose by the Air Force. Worldwide stations have been underreporting by 60-75% for the past year and half, to try to keep a lid on this. The numbers for December and June should have been around 200, with much less fall-off in between. So even the blue line isn't steep enough to match what really just happened.

We can see that better from this graph at Spaceweatherlive.com, which is slightly more honest than NASA in its reportage:




There you can more easily see how far above the prediction the current numbers are running. The numbers are still far too low since Spaceweatherlive is reporting the Air Force's numbers, but at least you can clearly see the huge gap between the red line and the purple one. So why can't we see that gap in the graph from NASA? The two graphs don't even come close to matching. Why? Because at NASA they have joined the green line to the black line, which acts to lift the front of the hump up, making it look like the predicted line is matching current numbers. That's the real reason they are using this "percentile" dodge, instead of just posting a graph like that from Spaceweatherlive. They are "re-predicting", or updating their prediction to the current moment, which acts to jettison their lousy prediction back to 2020, when this all began to go widdershins on them. And it actually went bad long before that, back to 2018, and we would see that if we could zoom in here, and if they weren't fudging the purple line with a 13-month smooth.

Also notice that NASA keeps moving both the green and blue lines up. Six months ago, the blue line peaked at 200. Now it peaks nearer 210. So they gradually keep sneaking everything up. In

December, the green line peaked in 2024 at 125, but now, six months later, it peaks there at 135. But in reality, the mainstream prediction for 2024 was under 100, as we see from the Spaceweatherlive graph.