Qubits Don't Exist

by Miles Mathis

First published March 5, 2025

Wow, just three days after toplisting my 2012 paper on the Majorana Fermion, showing neither it nor the Qubit exist at all, we find the whole question blowing up in the mainstream. Just 18 hours ago Sabine Hossenfelder posted a video with that very title "Qubits don't exist." And this wasn't her own argument, this was her reportage of a total collapse at Microsoft, with many experts in the field now admitting not only that qubits don't exist as claimed in the published papers, but the Majoranas don't either. Earlier papers have been retracted and there are many calls for the most recent ones to be retracted as well. Experts in the field are saying the energy transfers they were claiming to see were just electrons jumping or something.

No, they were seeing charge fluctuations, though they were possibly mediated by electrons.

You can be sure Hossenfelder and these other people aren't doing this to support me or my theories, since they have previously gone very far out of her way to avoid mentioning my name—like everyone else in the mainstream. So she must be agreeing with me despite that, hoping no one will notice. So it is up to me to remind you that no one but me had been outing qubits as a fraud until recently, and that I have been doing it for more than a decade. See for instance this very important 2014 paper on Solid Light, where, while analyzing a recent paper from Princeton, I explain high-temperature superconduction mechanically, including showing the physical cause of the Meissner Effect. I show how this destroys BCS and RVB theory, Cooper pairs, polaritons, dimer math, and the rest of the fudged pseudo-explanations of solid-state physics, including the qubit. [I am finding that Chrome is garbling that paper for reasons unknown, but other browsers are not, so you may wish to switch to Safari or something else if the paper is not loading for you. I tried fixing it with no luck. If you can find the glitch, let me know.]

You can also see me destroying the qubit in my 2014 paper on Teleportation.

I also attacked the qubit and AI vaporware in general with both barrels blazing <u>last October</u> when Hinton won the Nobel, <u>as well as July 2023</u>, when I hit Hinton the first time. So although no one is giving me any credit, as usual, it appears I am having a huge effect. I have brought down major projects before singlehandedly, <u>see the Bicep fiasco</u>, so this wouldn't be a first. The difference is, this destruction took a decade to come to fruition.

More to come, it is 4am here.

OK, It's 5pm and I am starting to come alive. I remind you that not only are there no such things as qubits or Majoranas, there are also no such things as quantum computers. They just added the word "quantum" a few years ago to sex up the sales pitch a bit more, making you think new computers were more esoteric or mysterious somehow, so that they could charge you and the treasury more for them. But there is actually very little difference. They are a bit faster now, but it has nothing to do with quantum mysteries like superposition, entanglement, or any of that old mess. There is no such thing as entanglement and superposition is just just stacked spins. See my old papers going back to 2005

demystifying all that. So Hossenfelder still has a lot of catching up to do. She has videos she needs to make on the fact that entanglement doesn't exist, that quantum computing doesn't exist, and that most of quantum mechanics doesn't exist either, being a science-fiction fantasy manufactured to capture funding and wow the gullible. Also videos on why and how the <u>strong force doesn't exist</u>, the <u>weak force doesn't exist</u>, <u>quarks don't exist</u>, gluons don't exist, the <u>Higgs exists but isn't a boson</u> and mediates no forces, bosons don't exist, <u>fermi states don't exist</u>, the <u>Lagrangian is misdefined</u>, <u>electron bonding doesn't exist</u>, <u>spin ½ doesn't exist</u>, <u>dark matter doesn't exist</u> (or it does, but it is just charge), the <u>Earth's dynamo doesn't exist</u>, the HUP doesn't exist, quasiparticles and virtual particles do not exist, the Dirac sea does not exist, the virtual field does not exist, <u>gravity waves don't exist</u>, gravitons don't exist, teleportation doesn't exist, <u>orbitons don't exist</u>, and a hundred other things I blew the cover of years ago.

But let's go back to the video she *has* made. I draw your attention to this quote from the recent Microsoft release, which Hossenfelder puts on the screen:

Microsoft today introduced Majoranal, the world's first quantum chip powered by a new topological core architecture that it expects will realize quantum computers capable of solving meaningful, industrial scale problems in years, not decades.

Hmmm. I recommend you read that very closely over and over until it dawns on you what it says. It either admits that we have no quantum computers now, or that the ones we have can't solve any useful problems. It also admits that until this announcement, no real product was expected for decades, but that now we might expect one in several years. In either case, we currently have NOTHING. Just what I have been saying. This is nothing more than another beg for funding, for something that might (or might not) happen. Given the track record of these people, you can assume NOT. The fact they are tying this to the sexy but fake Majorana and qubit is just more proof of that. It is more of their famous expensive vaporware. They now want literally trillions from the public coffers to build these unnecessary computers, along with the fake "data centers" that will house them and the power plants that will feed them.

As it turns out, they have never created even one topological qubit, although the NYT claimed they did and everyone has run with that. They also have no evidence of a "Majorana mode", whatever the eff that is. According to Hossenfelder, it is supposed to be something that "lingers" at the end of short aluminum wires, given a low enough temperature. But that just means they have seen some energy fluctuation: it does not mean it was a Majorana, mode or otherwise. Zhang and 21 other authors claimed in *Nature* in 2018 to find evidence of this mode, but that paper was retracted along with a 2017 paper by the same team on nanowires. That should have been a major event, permanently shaming everyone involved, but as usual it was just swept under the rug and Microsoft just paid a new set of liars to say it again this February. Microsoft claimed they found a way to avoid the earlier problem, but since the reason for retraction wasn't a laboratory glitch, that makes no sense. The paper was retracted for "data analysis", meaning it retracted because it was fudged. So what did they do? They refudged it, of course, with a bigger pot of chocolate. They created a "topological gap protocol", which is as slippery as it sounds. Hossenfelder rightly ridicules it, though not enough for my taste. Pikulin and others out of UC Santa Barbara and the Niels Bohr Institute simply created computer models based on the same baseless and wrong standard model assumptions that had allowed the Majorana hoax in the first place, then showed the energies seen were in that range. Meaningless, as even their mainstream colleagues are admitting—and those colleagues are not sticklers for detail. Which means this fudge is way beyond the usual mainstream fudge. Really saying something, as my readers know.

What this tells me is that, like Pfizer, Microsoft forgot to pay off some people in the field, and the truth leaked through. They hate it when that happens, and for myself I am amazed it still does.

This conjob of AI dwarfs all previous conjobs except the military, making NASA, CERN, and the LHC look like peanuts. Beyond that, none of this can be funded from taxes since tax receipts are way down in all states and all countries. We are on the edge of a total economic collapse, caused by a century of this theft by the usual suspects. So it is a very bad time to be pushing this boondoggle regardless. We have to get these people's faces out of the public trough and start rebuilding.

We don't need to be building any Golden Domes, either, or pretending to.