Deformed Spacetime Theory



by Miles Mathis

July 9, 2025

What is deformed here is not Spacetime but this theory and the theorists proposing it. Spacetime cannot be deformed and the only ones proposing it have always been those trying to fudge their way out of big theoretical problems. Mainstream physics, astronomy and cosmology have been lost in an ever expanding morass of theoretical problems, caused by over a century of very bad theorists proposing very stupid things, this being among the stupidest ever.

To see what I mean, we can look at recent findings from Belluci and Cardone in Italy. They have confirmed previous experiments that showed ultrasonic waves could slow nuclear decay rates. The findings themselves are not mysterious, or shouldn't be, since I find them unremarkable. What is mysterious is why these people would jump to the conclusion this was caused by deformations in Spacetime. Shouldn't they consider less esoteric explanations first? Why pin this to DST in the abstracts, for no apparent reason? Well, because DST is considered sexy cutting-edge theory and it comes from promoted people in the families, and this is how science works. All new data is forced into standing theories by people trying to become famous, no matter how awful that forcing looks to sensible people.

Up until recently, the mainstream assumed decay rates were firm, since according to standard model theory they are functions of quantum interactions alone, and quanta are standard. In other words, an electron is always an electron and a proton is always a proton. They and their quantities don't vary, so decay rates should be fixed. So when these experiments proved they were wrong, they had no way to explain it. Their charge field is not real, coming from Maxwell's D-field, which has always been a ghost. They still don't know how it is mediated, the standing theory being some kind of virtual messenger photon that "tells" a particle to move closer or farther away. So no one thought to look at charge as the answer here. Instead, they leapt immediately into this DST garbage, which is more pseudo-Einsteinian BS, using variable time or space in ways Einstein never would have. Einstein is rolling over in the grave seeing his name dragged through the mud as it has been in the past 70 years.

Dirac is mostly to blame for getting the mainstream started on this, with his Dirac sea of virtual (pretend) particles. This allowed physicists to fudge any equation by pulling energy out of the vacuum with a magic wand, and they have since done Dirac one better by also pulling extra time out of the vacuum whenever they feel like it, with no rules of borrowing. Whenever they get in a bind (which is any time they do theory), they can now speed up or slow down time, or vary mass—even making it

negative. Of course this cheating prevents them from ever seeking the real answer or doing any real theory, which no one has done since the time of Bohr. Bohr pretty much outlawed mechanics, since he and Heisenberg couldn't do it. Instead it was replaced by this fudge-state physics, where all theory is done with fake quasi-particles in background independent axes (pretend axes) with a dose of fake Relativity thrown in for good (bad) measure. They think Einstein told them time is variable (he didn't—he told them it is relative, which isn't the same thing), so they feel free to vary it any time they like for any reason to fill any hole, as here.

Do they have any evidence Spacetime is deforming here? Of course not. What evidence could there be of that? They simply propose it because they have nothing else. They don't see a sensible answer in the first thirty minutes of thinking about this, so revert to fudge.

But the right answer is blindingly obvious, or should be, and it is completely mechanical. All it requires is understanding what sound is. Sound is patterns in a field, right? Yes, but what field? The mainstream says it is any field, like a gas, liquid, or even a solid. So the mainstream thinks sound is a pattern in a material field: a field of molecules. In a way that is true, but matter already exists in a field itself: the charge field. All matter exists in a charge field and is defined by it, with the charge field being primary, fundamental, and underlying. So sound is actually a pattern in the charge field, with matter also present.

And what is the charge field? It is real photons. All real photons could be called the charge field, including visible light, but charge at the quantum level mainly works in the infrared. It averages in the infrared, what we call heat.

Can you have sound in a vacuum? Yes and no. You can't have what we call sound in a vacuum, because our ears can't pick up patterns in the charge field without molecules present. The waves are too small. But you can have waves in a charge field or field of photons, with no molecules or ions present. This is what the mainstream calls neutrinos. Neutrinos are not really particles, they are traveling waves in the charge field, as I show here. So although they are not technically sound, they are an analog of sound.

Given all that, you can see why sound would affect decay rates. Sound is a manipulation of the charge field, and the charge field runs through all atoms and all quanta. The atomic nucleus is recycling charge right through it, in defined paths. So when you hit a nucleus (Cobalt, in this instance) with ultrasound, those waves are passing right through the nucleus and every baryon in the nucleus. This must affect all nuclear vibration rates, which of course will affect decay rates and everything else. As I said, not mysterious at all, and not hard to explain once you have a real charge field.

The thing is, the mainstream has been aware of this for decades. It didn't come to their attention with these new sound experiments, and it didn't come to their attention 15 years ago with DST. They have known that Solar cycles affect many cycles here on Earth, including hurricane cycles, weather cycles, pendulum cycles, atomic clocks, and everything else. But they also affect radioactivity rates. This has been another big mystery, but again it isn't mysterious to my readers. Radioactivity is variable, and not just in the presence of sound variations. It is also variable in the presence of Solar variation, since Solar variation is again a charge variation. The Solar Wind travels on a sea of charge, and every atom in and on the Earth feels variations in that charge field, explaining many things. I have done that (explaining) in many previous papers.