STRANGE METALS

or, I am proved right again

by Miles Mathis

April 27, 2025

Almost every month now I am provided another big win. <u>Yesterday it was reported by the Department of Energy that their top physical scientists</u> had confirmed a phenomenon of "strange metals", in which these substances transferred current, but not in discrete particles we call electrons. In this case, the current was transferred in a continuous "soup" of energy, blowing all previous equations and expectations.

Of course my readers are already going, "Yeah, it was transferred by the <u>real charge field of real photons</u>, as Miles has been telling you for decades!" This real charge field would show itself in precisely this way in this experiment, given their machines which use shot noise techniques. This allows them to "see" the spaces in between electrons, which—according to old theory—should be empty space. Instead, there is no empty space, it all being filled with something transferring the energy. MY CHARGE FIELD.

So, once again, <u>I predicted it</u>, showed <u>exactly what was happening</u>, <u>corrected the equations and the theory</u>, and a few years later the mainstream is admitting it happens just like that.

You will tell me they already have a model that explains this soup: the Fermi model and the old Fermi soup. Except that these scientists are admitting that model fails, since those quasiparticles fail here. That math fails. Of course it would, since it is a theory of quasiparticles, which don't exist. If they existed they wouldn't have to be called quasi, would they? All theories that include quasiparticles are stop-gap theories, invented to fill specific holes. They are heuristic, so of course the math is going to fail under pressure. Of course the theory is going to fail under pressure, since it isn't a physical theory. It is filler-math using fake filler particles. I hit that in full here and here. That second paper on Solid Light is one of my most important and revolutionary, since I not only destroy the Fermi field, I destroy quantum dimer theory, Cooper pairs, BCS theory, and RVB theory. So if you think I am claiming victory here based on some threadbare guess, well, you would be very wrong. I have published dozens of groundbreaking papers on the charge field going back to that seminal one in 2006, mechanically showing the world what charge really is. This was thrown into even higher gear in 2011, when I diagrammed the nucleus, showing exactly how it channeled and recycled real charge.

Which is why this paper can be so short: I explained all this fully long ago, so all I have to do is provide a few links. The only way the mainstream can continue to ignore this is. . . to continue to ignore it. They can continue to refuse to read my papers or to admit I exist. They can continue to claim that "no one can explain this", when every honest person knows I explained it almost twenty years ago.