Daycare Sex Abuse Hysteria



by Miles Mathis

First published September 8, 2024

A reader from Malden, MA, asked me to look at this and I realized I haven't hit it before. I had thought one of my guest writers hit the similar one in California, but I guess no one did. Seems like someone was working on it, but I guess it never got done. This case in Malden in 1984 was one of the first of many cases, the most famous being the McMartin Preschool case out of Manhattan Beach, CA, in 1983, including that actor-lady above. In fact there were thirteen cases in four Five-Eyes countries in a short span of years, which is a clue by itself. Five-Eyes refers to the linked Intelligence agencies of the US, Canada, UK, Australia, and New Zealand, already telling us this goes deeper than anyone has admitted. Which is of course why I am here.

Forty years later, Wikipedia now admits all these cases were based on hysteria—in other words that they were faked somehow. But you are supposed to believe they were just bad prosecutions based on overzealous prosecutors or something. You are supposed to believe the prosecutions and trials were real and that innocent people really spent time in jail. But I could tell immediately that wasn't true. To start with, there is no possibility any of this was organic, with the same script arising in the same years in four widely separated countries across the globe, pushing this "evil daycare" message. There is also no possibility jurors would fall for this when there was no forensic evidence, only tiny children testifying (whose testimony is not legally allowed in court anyway), and the involvement of the usual gaggle of obvious government agents. The trials, as reported, were an obvious farce, with huge signs of coaching of witnesses, so there is no way the government would be able to get any prosecutions without staging the whole thing.

But why stage this? OPERATION CHAOS. That isn't just a joke project or group from Get Smart, it


has always been a real thing. It was a premier project of the CIA back to 1950s, accelerating in the 1960s and after, and linked in the 60s to the FBI's similar project COINTELPRO. The mainstream now admits this. You can read about it at Wikipedia. The point of the project was destabilization: creating widespread fear and dread in order to split the sexes, increase spending across the board, justify Intel and police budgets, and allow for greater levels of societal control. Until 911 it worked brilliantly, though it has begun to backfire since then as the people become aware of it.

But it has taken a long time because the downside of these projects is never reported. Yes, Wikipedia admits they weren't what we were told, but most people still don't know that because it has gotten very little coverage. The initial projects were in the news for years, but if they issue a correction it is buried on the back page one time. Those of us who were alive in the 1980s remember these events well, since they changed the way we look at the world. But they don't ever admit these things are hoaxes, so our outlook never changes back. They just keep coming up with new fake events for younger people, which salt in the old fear for us all. And even when they do post updates, as with the reversals on appeal, those updates resell the old project as real. You see these people allegedly getting reprieves, but though that tells you the initial convictions were wrong, it doesn't tell you the initial event was faked from the ground up. Just the opposite, it acts as more proof the entire fiction was real.

So no one has gotten near what I am doing here: showing you the outcomes weren't just mistaken, but that the entire project was staged from the get-go, using a vast theater project worldwide of embedded agents, including fake trials in fake courts, and fake prison sentences. If you have read my previous papers, I shouldn't even have to prove that again, since I have proved it hundreds of times, but for the sake of thoroughness I will show you the red flags here that prove it.

I started by researching the main guy in the Malden event, Gerald Amirault. Wikipedia has a page on him, but gives us no bio previous to the event. Nor does it tell us where he was allegedly incarcerated. So I could only look him up through the usual people searches. At Instantcheckmate I found he was related closely to the Trafficantes. Are you awake? I suppose you remember Santo Trafficante, who was supposed to be the head of the Trafficante crime family of Florida and Cuba in the 60s-80s? He was another phony actor and agent, since he was most famous for a plot to assassinate Castro and for his ties to the Kennedy assassination. Since we know the Kennedy assassination was fake and Castro was our asset as well, we know everything Trafficante later testified to the HSCA about it was also faked. But are these Trafficantes of Massachusetts linked to the Trafficantes of Florida? Yes, they have Naples, FL, on their lists. Plus, it is known the Trafficante crime syndicate had links to the Northeast. As just one example, they admit the Trafficantes were tight with the Bonnanos of New For clues to who these Trafficantes really were, see Santo's wife Josephine, who was a York. Marchese means Marquess or Marquis, and the Marchese were Italian/Jewish nobility. Marchese. They want you to think these "mobsters" were lowclass guys in loud suits from peasant stock, but they weren't. They were and are hidden peerage, being from wealth going back many centuries. They are upper-upperclass, not lowerclass. As I have told you, all the Godfather-type movies and shows are misdirection. You can also check out Josephine Trafficante's obit, where they admit she was called JuJu. How do you get JuJu from Josephine? Shouldn't it be JoJo? Try JewJew. Now have you got it?

More proof this was all a fake is that Gerald Amirault doesn't have any Massachusetts prisons on his locations list, neither state prisons or federal prisons.



Here's another clue flapping wildly in your face: in 1996 they staged a "Day of Contrition" for all those falsely accused in these daycare events. Where was this event staged? You get one guess. Salem, Massachusetts. As usual, these event coordinators are just spitting in your face.

Susan J. Kelley was the pediatric nurse who coached all the children in the Fells Acres case in Malden. So who was she? She wasn't some local nobody. She just happened to have a PhD from Boston College in developmental psychology. She was used widely as an expert witness on TV, for places like The Today Show, NBCNews, and CBSNews, even after the Malden debacle. But if we study the bio closely, we find something strange: she got her masters in nursing in 1982 and the PhD in 1988, so she wasn't even out of school during this event in Malden in 1984. She was born about 1955, so she was still in her 20s during this event. We also see strange gaps in her bio in those years, since it took her five years to get her masters and another six to get the PhD. So what was she doing in the meantime? Training with the CIA? Even stranger is that neither she nor anyone else was ever held accountable for what everyone now admits was a huge miscarriage of justice. The pardons board later voted 5-0 for a pardon of Amirault, for example, calling the case unprecedented and "bizarre". They did everything but admit it was fake. You would expect Kelley's career to be destroyed by the later reversals, but just the opposite. Not only was she not brought up on charges for falsification of evidence or gross misconduct, her career bloomed. She is now a Dean at Georgia State, and was previously Chair of her department at Boston College. She has been passed by peer review for over 40 journal articles, though she should have been refused for cause. She is now a reviewer for Nursing Research, being part of that very same peer review. She has a long list of awards, including March of Dimes National Nurse of the Year and the Outstanding Service Award, American Professional Society on Abuse of Children. You have to laugh. If you want to keep laughing, she is on the editorial boards of *Child Maltreatment*, the Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, and Trauma, Violence and Abuse: a Review Journal. So how can someone who started by faking testimony from a bunch of children end up with a career like that? Now you know.

That isn't just my opinion. Videotapes of her coaching the children were played in court later during appeals, the judge admitting he had never witnessed a greater fraud being perpetrated. So again, explain to me why Kelley and the prosecutors weren't ever brought up on charges of fraud? You can understand it only by bundling it with what happened with the pardons by governors Swift and Baker. Or didn't happen. As I said, the board recommended a pardon 5-0, but Massachusetts governor Jane Swift overruled them, based on... nothing.



We can only suppose she knew they weren't in jail and didn't need to be pardoned. Besides, no doubt CIA ordered her to stand down. Massachusetts governor Charlie Baker acted even weirder, deciding to pardon them but then claiming he was overruled by the Massachusetts Governor's Council. That's impossible according to section III article I of the Massachusetts Constitution, which I just read. The council is called at the governor's discretion, and has no power to overrule him. They are there to advise only, so this is just another fudge. The only people who can overrule the governor are the President of the US or the US Supreme Court. . . or the CIA.

In the famous McMartin Preschool case in Los Angeles, they now admit the whole thing was a makework project by LA County District attorney Robert Philobosian, with the help of KABC TV reporter Wayne Satz. This was the longest and most expensive trial in US history, bringing in about \$20 million to local coffers and paying many years of salaries to these lawyers and reporters, funded of course by taxpayers. Satz just happened to be sleeping with Kee MacFarlane, the social worker from Children's Institute International who was interviewing the children. Another prosecutor Lael Rubin was sleeping with David Rosenzweig, editor of the Los Angeles Times covering the event. But as you are seeing it was even deeper than that, since the idea obviously didn't come from Philobosian. He wasn't the big fish here. As you have already seen this was a national and international project, and we can tell that by the fact that the National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect, a subagency of the HHS, benefitted from this conjob even more than Los Angeles. In the two years after this hit the presses, NCCAN increased its yearly budget from \$1.8 million to \$15 million. That's an 8x increase almost overnight from a fake event, again taken from taxdollars. *Your* taxdollars. So your representatives in Washington pretended to be fooled by these events, and they have not gotten unfooled in the meantime, since the events all crumbled into dust. To answer this, Congress ended NCCAN in 1996, but just moved it to another agency and renamed it OCAN. You can be sure the budget has continued to climb, since no federal budgets ever go down.

Oh, and I guess you noticed all those names in purple are Jewish? It is also worth pausing on the Children's Institute of LA, which has been showered with money from that time as well. The Edna McConnell Clark Foundation has given the Institute millions, and it is now so flush it recently hired Frank Gehry to design its new building, which opened in 2022.



Edna Clark was the daughter of Avon founder David McConnell. Her foundation's connection to this fake indicates to me that it may be working with the CIA to funnel money to these projects, as we have seen many times, especially in my exposes on the art market. See the Rockefellers' and Mellons' connection to the rise of Modern Art, as revealed by Frances Stonor Saunders. We also have to wonder if Kee MacFarlane is related to Seth MacFarlane. We do know that when she entered this saga in 1983 as an alleged employee of the Children's Institute, she was 36 and claimed to be a psychotherapist, but had no professional licenses. So what exactly qualified her to be director of Children's Institute, and why would the director of this Institute be chosen to interview the McMartin Preschool children? It makes no sense. You would expect the prosecution to hire a licensed professional with some sort of legal and/or law enforcement history and expertise, not this person with only masters degree in social work and no experience interviewing victims for trial. If you will remember, she made a mockery of the whole process by talking to the children through anatomically correct dolls and hand puppets. MacFarlane later testified before Congress that there was a nationwide conspiracy of Satanic groups sexually abusing children, but she presented not a shred of evidence for that claim. Remember that as you weigh similar claims now being made all over the place. And again, once all these cases were thrown out or overturned, MacFarlane was neither brought up on charges of fraud nor faced any consequences in her career. Wikipedia has a page on her, but stops her bio right after the trials, though that was 35 years ago. If we look her up at Instantcheckmate, we find her, but not related to any MacFarlanes. Only Lynches. That is because she is aka Kathleen Ann Lynch and Catherine Lynch. We also find a location of Henrico, VA, which is FBI.


Curiously, if we search on Seth MacFarlane, 50, we get no results for California, Connecticut, or nationwide. The only Seth MacFarlane in the country is 28. Radaris and Intelius also have no listing for him.

Was Wayne Satz the LA reporter an undercover CIA agent? You decide. In 1992 at age 47 he allegedly died of a heart attack in his Studio City apartment. On Christmas day. His Wiki bio contains nothing but his involvement in this event and that death. More digging take us to an <u>old *LATimes* page</u> where they admit Satz was already working on the subject of child abuse before he encountered the McMartin Preschool case. What incredible luck for him! As a reporter, he was looking for a local case to report and presto! the biggest one of all time falls into his lap, right next door in Manhattan Beach. And guess what, Satz' page at Instantcheckmate has been scrubbed of all relatives. Another

coincidence, I'm sure. No page at Findagrave or any other sites. No standard obituary, with place of burial. At the time of his death he was working for FOX, on a program called "Cutting through the Bull". Again, you have to laugh. Before the McMartin case, he had been working on the Hillside Strangler case, which was of course another fake CIA case. Don't believe me? One of the two bad guys there was Kenneth Bianchi, now said to serving life in Washington State Penitentiary.


Department of Corrections WASHINGTON STATE		
About - Corrections - Family & Visitors - News & Info - Vie	ctims -	
A Home > News & Information > Incarcerated Data Search		
News & Information	Incarcerated Search	
+ Expand All - Collapse A	To improve public safety the Department of Corrections publishes information about currently incarcerated individuals. All information provided through the applicat obtain more information about current and former inmates and supervisees.	
♠ Incarcerated Data Search Home		
Q. Incarcerated Search	-	
> Report Tips & Leads C	Enter a DOC Number or name to browse for a specific incarcerated individual. Special characters, other than hyphens and apostrophes, cannot be used. (What is a	
> Secretary's Warrants		
> About	kenneth	bianchi
Q, Warrant Search	Ket # Ru I	Digiticiti
Wanted for Arrest	DOC Number	Search
> Resources	Loo Ruminer	Search
Newsroom V		
Public Alerts	No results found!	
Records		
Research & Data Analytics		

No results found. A people search at Instantcheckmate finds no Kenneth Alessio Bianchi, age 73, b. Rochester, NY. We do however find a Kenneth A. of Chevy Chase and Silver Spring, MD, bedroom communities of the CIA. Plus, his name is Bianchi and he looked like this:



Looks like what he is: upperclass. Kenneth Alessio Bianchi, with the Alessios and Bianchis both being Italian nobility. The Bianchis are related to the Birkin baronets, which links us to the Spencers, Stanleys, Russells, and Stuarts. They are also related to the Earls of Coventry, linking us to the Beauclerks, Dukes of St. Albans.

The other Hillside Strangler was Angelo A. Buono, also of Rochester, d. age 67 in jail in Calipatria. But his listing tells us he is in Nampa, ID, or died there. He has many locations, but neither Calipatria nor Rochester, so his Wiki bio is fake.



We are supposed to believe this guy married a respectable mother of three while serving his life sentence for torturing, raping, and murdering ten women. She was Christine Kizuka, supervisor at California State Employment Development Department. So you can now also understand how these women like Kizuka married serial killers like Buono: he wasn't a serial killer, he was just an actor, so she wasn't crazy. However, if we look up Kizuka, we find her, but with no connection to Buono. You would expect her husband to be on her list, but he isn't. Furthermore, Kizuka appears to be her husband's name, her own name being Michiko Kim.

What other fun can we have? Let's look at the 1984 Bernard Baran case, also in Massachusetts. We can set it aside in just a few moments. Wikipedia has a page on it but refuses to tell us the names of the accusers, only admitting they were drug addicts and police informants, working for the Pittsfield Police drug control unit. So they could be paid to say anything, and were.

Baran was accused, tried and convicted within a three-month period and sentenced to three life sentences in January 1985.

Does that sound like the US criminal system you know? Baran claims his rights were violated in that the trial was closed to the public and he was not allowed to face his accusers—since he out of sight and hearing of the children testifying against him. Also signs of a fake trial in a dummy court.

The convictions were overturned in 2006 and the state declined to retry him, but the state still declined liability for the false judgment and did not expunge his record—again indicating a fake. He died soon after being freed at age 49, with no cause of death being given.

Also see the 1995 Wenatchee child abuse fake in Washington, which spun once again out of a local police department. Detective Robert Perez pressured his foster daughter Donna into testifying that she had been sexually molested. On her word 43 adults were arrested for abusing 60 children. When a local pastor complained, he too was arrested and charged with eleven counts of abuse of a child, though there was no previous evidence against him. So this followed the Salem Witch trials pretty closely. Someone had been reading that book. Donna Perez played the part of Abigail Williams. Donna later admitted she made it all up and Robert Perez admitted on the stand that he physically intimidated her to say these things. Which is abuse itself. Before any of this had happened, Robert Perez had been arrested in a child custody dispute. So how did he get to be in charge of sex crimes investigation the

very year this arose? And how did he skate charges for fraud once this all came out and everyone was exonerated? Now you know. He was part of a larger CIA project, as in the other fake events. We know that because when the governor of Washington requested a federal review of the case, Janet Reno at Justice and the FBI both declined to do anything, claiming there were no prosecutable violations. Though there were many. The ACLU declined to take the case as well, as did the Washington State Commission on Judicial Conduct. This can only be explained as a stand-down order from CIA.

The only one who stated the obvious was NIH forensic psychologist Phillip Esplin, who said

Wenatchee may be the worst example ever of mental health services being abused by a state ... to control and manage children who have been frightened and coerced into falsely accusing their parents and neighbors of the most heinous of crimes.

Good thing he wasn't local or Perez would have arrested him and charged him with child abuse. But since NIH had no jurisdiction over the cases, it didn't matter what Esplin thought. CIA could ignore him. The *Seattle Post-Intelligencer* admitted this case went deeper than Perez, though of course they didn't finger the CIA. They called Perez a point-man for CPS, but as you now see CPS wasn't running the show either. Child Protective Services didn't have the reach to control the police department, the courts, the media, and to order a stand-down to FBI and Justice. Only the CIA could do that.

For the next farce, we come to the Country Walk Babysitting Service case of 1985, in Florida. This one also involves Janet Reno, who prosecuted the case in Miami. It explodes from the first sentence, where we find the owner of this daycare, Frank Fuster, was an ex-con previously convicted of manslaughter as well as child sex abuse. Despite being convicted of child sex abuse just three years earlier, his parole officer allegedly signed off on him working at a daycare. But they admit he didn't just work there, he owned it, which requires a license. So the state of Florida licensed a convicted child molester still on parole to own his own daycare? Really? They gloss right over this little problem in the mainstream story, of course.

Fuster was convicted by Reno of abusing his own son as part of this farce, but this son did not testify against him and later denied he had been abused. He even refused his part of the \$5 million settlement from Disney, which owned the company that owned Country Walk.

Wait. . . what? Disney owned this little daycare run by Fuster and his wife? But Wikipedia just told us Fuster owned it.

Frank Fuster, aka Francisco Fuster-Escalona,[10] had recently married lleana Flores. They owned the Country Walk Babysitting Service in the Country Walk suburb of Miami, Florida.

That's a direct quote from Wikipedia, cut and pasted <u>from the current page</u>. So now we have this problem as well, another one passed over by the mainstream stories: Disney apparently hired or franchised to this guy Fuster, who was a convicted murderer and child molester? They didn't do any background check on people running their daycare centers?

But remember, this is all a hoax, so Disney wasn't really guilty of gross negligence. It was guilty of working with CIA, who obviously chose this Fuster guy *because* of his record. He fit their script since he would look guilty from the start.

Amazingly, they also admit Fuster's wife was tortured in prison to get her to turn on her husband, and

that Janet Reno was involved in this torture, visiting Ileana Fuster at least 30 times in solitary confinement to intimidate her, like some robed inquisitor. Michael Rappaport of Behavior Changers and defense investigator Stephen Dinerstein have both testified to Reno's actions, and I remind you she was lead prosecutor. So this was highly illegal and would have led to her disbarment and destroyed her career had any of this not been fictional.

A book and movie were made of the Country Walk fake in 1986 and 1990, called *Unspeakable Acts*. Both sold the events as real, but it later came out that the author Jan Hollingsworth was a paid consultant for the Bragas, the University of Miami child psychologists that ran the child witnesses. The movie was pure grade-P propaganda, starring Jill Clayburgh and Brad Davis. Reno and the Behavior Changers were left out of the movie altogether. Despite that, the *New York Times* praised the film for "avoiding exploitation and sensationalism". You have to laugh. Or puke. It was nothing but exploitation and sensationalism, except that it was worse than that, being sensationalism of fiction. The film was directed by Linda Otto, who also produced the 1983 TV movie *Adam*, starring JoBeth Williams, about the fake abduction of John Walsh's son. I have already covered that. She also directed the 1992 TV movie *A Mother's Right*, about Elizabeth Morgan. It also concerns child abuse by a father, being part of the Men-are-Pigs project. Otto is an obvious spook, having gotten her start back in 1970 working on *Dark Shadows*. I recommend you study her IMDb page closely, noticing how many of her projects are about rape, child abuse, or other true-crime fake stories.

She also directed the *Jayne Mansfield Story*, starring Arnold Schwarzenegger, tending to confirm my guess Mansfield faked her death at age 34 in 1967. The other use of this film was retelling her fake relationship to JFK, making him look straight and confirming that whole lie of him being a ladies man. Mansfield was really a Palmer and her parents were cousins, of the very wealthy Palmers of the Northeast. She had been washed up since 1960, being too fat to maintain her sexy image since she was 27. By 1966 she was just a cheap burlesque floozy and alcoholic, making the papers only for drunken brawls. She may have faked her death to avoid prosecution for child endangerment. Her 16-year-old daughter had filed charges with the LA police two weeks earlier, accusing her mother and her mother's lover Sam Brody of abuse. Both Mansfield and Brody allegedly died in the crash, but all three children in the car survived. Since the kids were 3, 6, and 8, and there were no child seats and probably no seatbelts, that is very unlikely. They are said to have had only minor injuries. Also remember that Brody was Jewish, and Mansfield was a crypto-Jew and fake-Satanist, being a High Priestess of Anton's Lavey's Church of Satan. Since Lavey was an obvious agent, that is just one more clue Mansfield was as well.

Janet Reno also prosecuted Bobby Fijnje, a 14-year-old church class leader accused of molesting 21 younger kids in his care. Reno brought zero witnesses to trial and presented no evidence, hoping to win with a plea bargain, but Fijnje refused a plea bargain and was acquitted. It looks to me like their young actor hired by the CIA balked at his part, probably fearing the fake would be flipped to real, and the whole thing fell apart. It should have been highly embarrassing to Reno, but as usual it didn't slow her rise to the top.

On the way out, I remind you of Reno's major part in <u>the Waco fake</u>. As with the rest of these people, her life was just one long fake news story. Like Mansfield, she was primarily an actress.