The JFK Files are More Misdirection



by Miles Mathis

First published March 19, 2025

Some of the JFK files from the FBI have now been declassified and released, and as I predicted they are pretty much worthless. The reason for this should be obvious: if, as I have proved, the assassination was faked to cover JFK's retirement due to ill health, any old files will be part of that fake, even if they are really from the early 60s and weren't fabricated later. They are now using this declassification to indicate CIA got JFK through their hired gunman Oswald, which is basically just a repeat of "conspiracy theory" #1, which has existed back to 1964. It isn't groundbreaking, revelatory, shocking, or new in any way. The only people who will even lift an eyebrow are those who still believed the Warren Commission report, which would be a couple of old guys past 80 on ventilators. Yes, in that case it would prove our government lied to us, but that isn't news in 2025. Proof the government ever told the truth about *anything* is the only possible "news" at this point.

Yes, these documents show the CIA was involved, but they are involved in my theory as well: both the CIA and FBI were involved in faking this assassination, so that Johnson could become President without a special election. Basically the same scenario that happened three times before, with Lincoln, Garfield, and McKinley. If a President dies, the VP becomes President, but if a President retires due to ill health, there is problem, since the Constitution is unclear on how to deal with that. Congress might decide to call a special election, in which case the VP may not win. Besides, by faking it this way, they had found it was a great way increase the popularity of the VP, via sympathy, guaranteeing national unity in the following months, knocking everything else out of the news, as well as guaranteeing his win in the next election. That is exactly what had happened the three previous times, and it happened even more for Johnson, whose popularity was through the roof in the beginning, keeping eyes off the Vietnam War for a couple of years.

Like everything in the press back then, all these documents were created as part of the vast fiction, so of course they are going to confirm the event as real. As I have shown, these myriad "conspiracy theories" concerning various entities that were gunning for Kennedy, including CIA, Russia, Johnson, the Mob, and so on, only acted to confirm the event was real, so these stories were aided and abetted by the government from the beginning. They didn't care who you thought did it, as long as you believed it

was done, you see. Plus, these conspiracy theories created confusion, so they were very welcome: they acted to cloud any real investigation and block all the most logical questions—which no one really asked until I came along 45 years later.

Still, you may ask, "Why now?" Why declassify these papers now? Well, there are several answers to that, the first being to answer my papers on Kennedy, which have gotten some traction in the past 15 years. Somebody thinks this will put the question to rest for some people, though I think that number is small. As you can already see, it doesn't really answer my papers at all, since it doesn't answer any of the specific questions I ask or explain the thousand anomalies I point out there. And that is especially true when you take my Kennedy paper in conjunction with my papers on Lincoln, Garfield, and McKinley. As well as my hundreds of papers on other fakes. For my readers, this document drop will be pretty much meaningless.

But they probably also hope they can snare me with this document drop, causing me to pause my prodigious output to read these thousands of pages and respond. Anything to shut me up for a few months. But it won't work because I am not going to do it. I have already proved beyond the shadow of a doubt the assassination was faked, and the only doubt I still have is to why. I had at first proposed it was to take the government underground, creating a sort of hidden king, but once I figured out Kennedy was mortally ill, that changed. Plus, later doing the Lincoln, Garfield, and McKinley events showed me this was not their first fake Presidential assassination rodeo. This had come up three times before, so it became much easier to see exactly what they were up to: installing Presidents with no elections. I also realized I had been naive as I learned more about US history: the real rulers had always been hidden, with the Presidents just frontmen reading from scripts. So the US government hadn't gone underground in 1963, it had always been underground.

Sting* had given you the clue back in the 1980s: "They all look like gameshow hosts to me". Yeah, and that includes Trump of course, with the gameshow being The Apprentice. How obvious could it be? Which brings us to the third reason to declassify this stuff now: it is yet another attempt to get your eyes off more important things by controlling the dialog and controlling your responses. They want your eyes off Israel, the banks, the Jews, the war machine, and most especially off Pfizer and the other Big Pharma criminals and their vaccine genocide. They are busy creating new fake wars and new dangerous drugs and new methods of tyranny, so they need your eyes on 1963, you see.

Another reason to release now is to give Trump more credit and make him look honest and brave. They have been hardselling the Republican party for several years now, to make you think you are their buddy and forestall the revolution. Trump is on it so you can go back to sleep. CIA has apparently agreed to take the fake fall, since they think they are untouchable. They know that their man Trump won't touch them, that's for sure, so as usual this won't go anywhere. The most we will see is some pretend investigations by Congress, which may censure a few people and give a few demerits. A few dead agents that nobody liked will be trashed for a few years, maybe, though as we have seen Hollywood will resuscitate even them and restore their legacies after a couple of decades. They will be played by some pretty actor in the year 2040, who will pretend not to be gay and pretend not to be Jewish and pretend not to be a soulless criminal. Think Reds, for instance, or A Beautiful Mind, or The Imitation Game.

[Added next day: some readers are not liking my quick dismissal of this as a "nothing-burger", but that isn't really what I said. I said that *in relation to my JFK papers*, it is worthless as a reveal, since there is nothing left to reveal. Only things left to hide. Another reader said why classify it for 60 years if it was not hiding anything? That's pretty easy to answer as well, since I didn't say it wasn't hiding

anything. I said it wasn't successfully hiding anything *from my readers*, so it wasn't much use to them. But for mainstream people or readers who have only just gotten here—or readers who still haven't accepted my Kennedy papers—of course the current release may jog something in their heads, which is why I returned for this addition. As I said, I am not going to waste time pouring over thousands of pages of still-redacted lies and misdirection (Trump is still holding back all the "good stuff"), but as the main points come out I will certainly comment on them. One thing worth pointing out is that this release has already blown to shreds the two main arguments over the past 60 years, that being that the government wouldn't lie to us about something like this, and that secrets can't be kept. We were assured that all major conspiracies were ridiculous because the government couldn't maintain a lie for half a century, or whatever. The CIA just wasn't that smart or powerful. The truth would out. But it looks like the Warren Commission report is being completely eviscerated, which shouldn't surprise anyone, but which will no doubt flip a lot of people nonetheless. The CIA admitted early on (1964) that all evidence pointed away from a lone gunman, but that is the story they chose to sell nonetheless.

I remind you that not so long ago Penn and Teller were ridiculing as ignorant assholes anyone who didn't believe the 911 story, the Moon Landing, or the Warren Commission report. That was on their HBO show *Bullshit*. So that 3-legged stool just lost one leg: can such a stool stand on two legs? You may want to run that experiment and find out.

But we not only have admission of old lies, we have a whole new round of spinning from the current press, as you can see by searching on this online. *The Guardian* comes up first, and they tell you the biggest thing to come out is that there was a conspiracy to kill Oswald and the Soviets were worried we would launch a missile at them. Wow, juicy. Really worth waiting 60 years for, right? Also weird is that this search on "new JFK files" bring up on the front page a lot of deprecated links from several years ago, selling the old story. As usual, the search engines can't seem to comprehend a simple request, deciding to snow you instead. The worst result was #13, front page, from *Popular Mechanics*, dated January 2025. So those notorious propagandists at PM saw this search coming, didn't they? They are obviously hoping their stupid readers won't notice the date on the article, thinking they are getting new fake news instead of old fake news.

What none of the top outlets will tell you is that there is an admission in this release that Hitler faked his death and moved to. . . not Brazil, but Colombia. That may just be to get your eyes off Brazil, but the important thing is the admission Hitler faked his death, which certainly goes some way to confirming my papers on him. It also confirms that all the governments of the world lied about that, and kept it semi-secret for 80 years. Which should beg this question:

If they now admit the Warren Commission was a lie and that Hitler faked his death, why do you believe anything else? Why not go whole-hog and let it all go? If they will lie about that, they will lie about anything, and have. Anything and pretty much everything. And still are.

^{*}And Sting should know, since he is a cousin of these people, being both a Gordon and a Sumner of the peerage. He is also a Cowens and a Cummings, Isle of Man. Cowens=Cummings=Cohen. Ethnicelebs admits Sting is also a Bloomfield, though they misspell it Broomfield, I assume on purpose. This is interesting because it would indicate Sting is not a Sumner, he is a Holme-Sumner, since they are also Bloomfields. This would help us peg

him, since they married the Berkeleys in 1857, linking us to those barons as well as to the Lennox dukes. The Lennox dukes then link us immediately to the Gordon dukes. The Gordons are basically Stuarts: *all* the Dukes are Stuarts by another name. Same for the Police's drummer Stewart Copeland, whose father was big in Intelligence, being the founder of Strategic Services, both of them <u>listed in the British peerage</u>. And of course Stewart is also a Stuart. The Copelands are originally from Scotland, closely related to the Forbes, and originally being Coplands. As Coplands, they are related to the. . . Gordons, which would make Sting and Stewart cousins.