Bill Maher Blows his History Exam



by Miles Mathis

First published October 26, 2023

For the latest in "Maher is the Biggest Weasel on TV" saga, Maher had Megan Kelly on his program yesterday and boy did he blow it. He was comparing Trump to Hitler, which is such a reach, but we won't go there. Too obvious. Even liberal Hollywood Jew Michael Rappoport has said "enough with the Trump is Hitler bullshit". Yeah. But the reason I am here has nothing to do with Trump. It has to do with mainstream history, which Maher just butchered. I guess he figured his audience wouldn't know the difference, and he is probably right. No one else is calling him on this. Here is how it went:

KELLY: We had four years of Trump. Trump did not go after his political enemies with the DOJ. That was Joe Biden and Kamala Harris.

MAHER: Well, <mark>we had Hitler in the 30s and things were okay</mark>, and then we had Hitler in the 40s, and they got way worse. Just because we had him...

What? Hello? Is anyone awake? Things were OK in the 30s? What novel version of mainstream history has Maher been reading? Hitler was appointed Chancellor in 1933 and the Reichstag (German Congress) immediately burned down on February 27. On February 28

At Hitler's urging, Hindenburg responded by signing the Reichstag Fire Decree of 28 February, drafted by the Nazis, which suspended basic rights and allowed detention without trial. The decree was permitted under Article 48 of the Weimar Constitution, which gave the president the power to take emergency measures to protect public safety and order.[163] Activities of the German Communist Party (KPD) were suppressed, and some 4,000 KPD members were arrested.[164]

But according to Maher, things were OK. Good to know, Bill.

In March

To achieve full political control despite not having an absolute majority in parliament, Hitler's government brought the *Ermächtigungsgesetz* (Enabling Act) to a vote in the newly elected Reichstag. The Act—officially titled the *Gesetz zur Behebung der Not von Volk und Reich* ("Law to Remedy the Distress of People and Reich")—gave Hitler's cabinet the power to enact laws without the consent of the Reichstag for four years. These laws could (with certain exceptions) deviate from the constitution.[168]

So things were just getting more and more OK!

The funny thing is, Wikipedia is rewriting history just like Maher. They now tell us

Until the 1960s, some historians, including William L. Shirer and Alan Bullock, thought the Nazi Party itself was responsible; [160][161] according to Ian Kershaw, writing in 1998, the view of nearly all modern historians is that van der Lubbe set the fire alone. [162]

So according to Wiki, nearly all modern historians think the Communist Lubbe acted alone and that the Nazis had nothing to do with it? You may wish to probe your brain and ask it if that is how you remember it? Collating all sources you have come into contact with on that question in your lifetime, is that the score you got: most historians said it was Lubbe alone? No, that is just the opposite of the truth. Most sources, INCLUDING WIKIPEDIA AND BRITANNICA, have been telling us for decades the Nazis set the fire. So why are they flipping it on us now? Operation Chaos? Mandela Effect?

They do continue to tell this old lie:

On 25 February 1932, the interior minister of Brunswick, Dietrich Klagges, who was a member of the Nazi Party, appointed Hitler as administrator for the state's delegation to the Reichsrat in Berlin, making Hitler a citizen of Brunswick, [148] and thus of Germany. [149]

Appointing someone as an administrator does not give them German citizenship if they didn't already have it. Just the opposite, of course: you have to have German citizenship to be appointed. As I have said before, this is one of the stupidest, most upside-down stories in all of history. Hitler had been convicted of treason for the Beer Hall Putsch just eight years earlier, which permanently disqualified him from holding any German office. To get around that the fake historians have to make up this rule about appointments conferring citizenship. And do appointments also override court findings and treason convictions?