

# THE SOLUTION TO THE SETH RICH “MURDER”



*by Miles Mathis*

*First published July 30, 2022*

[Also see [the 2019 paper on this](#) by my guest writer Chachi. He hits many things I don't here, though I find a couple of things he missed. I actually forgot about his paper when I cranked this out overnight and didn't remember it until later. So many papers.]

This is just my opinion, based on not believing everything (anything) I see on TV and having a brain that is still functioning. And based on my right to have an opinion and speculate.

I am formally claiming the \$280,000 on the table in rewards for solving this one, as well as any other offers I am not aware of.

The answer to who killed Seth Rich is. . . no one. Because he wasn't killed. It was faked, like everything else in the news for the past century.

Why was it faked? The usual reason: he was going into CIA and needed the cover.

We will be given no solid evidence of this, so it probably can't be proved. If I were given access to everything the police know, such as security tapes and such, I might be able to prove it, but that isn't going to happen. So all I have to go on is the mainstream story, which is fiction. Still, I can give you the pointers.

Rich was Jewish and active in Jewish outreach. Not only that, but he was a **Rich**, tying him back to the Riches/Riccis/Ritchies/Reichs we have seen running these cons for many centuries, starting with the Riches who looted the monasteries for Henry VIII. Same family, I would guess. These Riches in this story appear to be Polish/Russian Jews, since they are tight with the Jews of Omaha and founders of Beth El there, having founded the place. They may descend from the Vasas/Jagiellons, since I found some ancestors named Casimir and so on. But although a grandfather is mentioned in the mainstream stories, he isn't named. The lines are well scrubbed, as you are about to see. Without a lot more digging in European genealogies than I am prepared to do at this time, all we can do is guess. But I remind you that the Riches of England also came from that area, being Reichs of eastern Germany/Poland in the 1400s.

Seth's parents are hidden at Wiki, another red flag. They don't want to tell you who these people are. But Findagrave lists them as Joel and Mary. Because they are living, they don't link out to previous ancestors.

These parents allegedly sued Fox News, though I don't know for what. For speculation? But I have my theories about that as well: the lawsuit was faked like the rest of it, to scare people off from questioning the story. Just like with Sandy Hook, where people have allegedly lost lawsuits for not believing the mainstream story. Problem is, I don't believe in those lawsuits, either, since they don't make any legal sense. There are no laws against speculating or not believing the news. I am not required to shed a tear just because Joel and Mary sit there and show me a picture of their son. Sorry, but that's the way it is. To me, Seth Rich looks like an untrustworthy creep—see first photo. So I am going to go with that until proven otherwise.

Wikipedia give us no DOB for Rich, which is strange. We are told he was 27 at time of death, so doing the math we find he was born in 1989-90.

Rich has almost no bio before the death, which also indicates a cover-up. Wiki rushes by it all in a short paragraph. However, he went into Greenberg Quinlan Rosner polling company right out of college, all but proving my theory. GQR is itself a CIA front, run by Stanley Greenberg. Greenberg was heavily involved in Nelson Mandela's 1994 campaign, and may have been his CIA handler. Again, just another educated guess. **Speculation**, based on collecting decades of data and having it my head. Which is not illegal last time I checked.

Greenberg's parents are also scrubbed at Wikipedia. He is also Jewish, of course. We are told he got a PhD from Harvard but aren't told what it was in. He then taught at Yale, but taught what?

By age 25, Rich was already working for the Democratic National Committee. He was allegedly helping voters find polling stations. Sounds like a cover story, doesn't it? Why would someone who had already been working at Greenberg for three years take that step down? He must have been doing something a little more exciting than that at the DNC, probably getting his feet wetter in politics before his jump to CIA.

He was supposedly walking home late at night from a bar when he was shot twice in the back. It was at 4:20am, which already looks like a joke. Are the funny CIA writers telling us he was a stoner? Plus, this is just the standard CIA faked death story, right from the handbook. It is probably scenario one in the chapter on fake deaths, even above the fake plane crash. We have seen it a hundred times. They even used it with the death of Swedish Prime Minister Olof Palme, who was allegedly assassinated in 1986 when walking alone with his wife at night. Absurd, since Prime Ministers in all countries have 24-hour security. They are not *allowed* to walk the streets alone at night, for any reason.

There was tape from a security camera, but they tell us only the legs of the assailants can be seen. Really? Not much of a security camera, was it? Where was it positioned, in a storm drain? Also consider this: if only the legs of the assailants can be seen, that implies only the legs of Rich can be seen. Unless they are holding him upside down. So how did they identify Rich in the footage? You will say they didn't need to identify Rich in the footage, since they had his body in their hands. But you are missing my point. Without being able to identify Rich, the tape is useless, and is indication of nothing. Even if the time stamp matches the time of the shots, it is still proof of nothing, since bodies could be switched, the police could be lying about the time, blanks could be fired, silencers could be

used, etc. None of that happened, since NOTHING happened, but I am just showing you how ridiculous the story is, such as it is.

Now pay attention, because his is a big, though subtle, clue: he had been at Lou's sports bar, which Wikipedia is careful to tell us was **1.8 miles from his house**. Hmm. Is that crucial information? Not, "about 2 miles". Not, "about one and a half miles". But exactly 1.8 miles. Why? My readers know. It is because they wanted to get aces and eights in there. The sign of a fake. They are signaling each other.

Police were there within one minute of the shots. Really? At 4:20am? At least they weren't there *before* the shots were fired. . . though they probably were. Police theorized it was an attempted robbery, and residents were found to say the neighborhood had been plagued by robberies. Except for one thing: **he wasn't robbed**. They didn't take anything, forgetting to take his watch, cellphone, or wallet, which could have been lifted in seconds. They could have been lifted in seconds without shooting him at all, so what sort of police investigators would suggest he was killed in a botched robbery? **Controlled police**. And except for another thing: the area *hadn't* been plagued by violent, life-ending robberies, or armed robberies with murder. And with police so on-the-ball, arriving not only within one minute of a call, *but within one minute of the shots*, no criminals would even think to test their luck in this part of DC.

Here's another problem. We are told that Rich was walking home from that bar. But [in a DailyMail piece](#), one of his roommates was quoted as saying he was probably walking home from his girlfriend's place. So someone went off script there, and probably got docked for it. We are also told Rich was on the phone with her when he died. That is according to her. So he spends the whole night with her, until past 4 in the morning, and then is still on the phone with her walking home? Doubtful. They hadn't just met, having worked together for many years. We are also told he was living with three other roommates. But he was 27, not 19. Why would he be living with with three roommates? He wasn't poor and had a job. He wasn't an intern or a student, you know. He had already worked for GQR for years, so, as I say, he shouldn't have had an entry level job at DNC. He should have been able to afford his own flat, even if it was smallish. Plus, in the rich neighborhood he was living in, they don't pack people in four-to-a-door. That's why they call it a rich neighborhood. They don't have students' quarters there. So none of this makes any sense. It has no continuity.

Here's a strange photo:



It is tagged, “**Mourners walk beside Rich's casket as it's wheeled out of the synagogue following a funeral on Wednesday.**”

What's strange about that? Have you ever heard of pallbearers? Plus, how cheap and narrow is that casket? Is that an unfinished pine box? Would a grown man even fit in there? Look at the guy in gray who is wheeling the casket, and compare the width of his shoulders to the width of the casket. I can't make sense of the perspective in the rest of the photo, either. The first guy in the light blue shirt has ridiculously short legs, so I am thinking he is about five feet tall, but then how tall is the woman beside him? And study his feet. It looks like he has three feet. There is an extra leg in there! The guy right behind him has on gray pants, but that third foot has on black pants. And let's return to the casket. You have a guy on both ends, right? But the far guy is much larger, and the two guys look close enough to kiss. So how long is the casket? A foot and a half wide and a foot long? Maybe it's a child casket, or maybe it is just a catering cart. And how about that bald florid guy in the background, to your left? Look at the size of his head! He is far behind the lead guy, in a long line of guys going back, but his head is larger than anyones. That is what I mean by perspective: it is all wrong. This is a bad paste.

On the day of the shooting, DNC chair Debbie Wasserman Schultz, Jewish of course, had already issued a statement, politicizing the event and talking about voters rights. The next day Hillary Clinton chimed in, **calling for gun control**. Wow, they don't waste any time, do they? The next month Rich's parents and girlfriend were on TV, doing the usual dance and making the usual miserable spectacle of themselves. Two months later the parents, with the *pro bono* help of Jack Burkman, Jewish of course, launched a huge advertising campaign seeking information about the death. This looks to me like more CIA cover, to publicize the death and make it look real. It is not the way things work in real murder



cases. It is only the way they work in CIA fake deaths. By February of 2017, Burkman was reporting that the Russians were involved, which again sounds like CIA, doesn't it?

Rich's brother Aaron then started a Go-Fund-Me page, supposedly as a reward fund. But since no reward was ever paid, what happened to that money? Did Aaron just profit from the fake death of his brother? We don't know since no follow-up is ever posted on these things, and I doubt he was audited.

But the shenanigans won't quit, since Ed Butowsky, Jewish of course, now got involved, paying homicide detective Rod Wheeler to investigate. Rich's parents allegedly signed off on this, according to Wiki, but did not hire Wheeler themselves. Wheeler then came up with a story Rich was linked to Wikileaks, but after FoxNews ran with that story, Wheeler retracted it and sued Fox. Yes, it was **Wheeler that sued Fox**, not the parents. That isn't what was implied in other places.

But wait, it looks like Rich's parents did sue Fox as well. We are told the suit was thrown out, but an appeals court reinstated it. That is unlikely, because such a case would probably be dismissed with prejudice, precluding any appeal. It wasn't like the murder case, in which more evidence might come in. It was a question of whether Fox had acted with malice in falsely reporting something—which is almost impossible to prove to start with. Besides, nothing was proved one way or the other, or could have been, since it was all speculation to begin with. It has never been proved Rich *wasn't* in touch with Wikileaks, or that he wasn't killed because of it, since the case has never been proved one way or the other, in a court of law. Nothing has ever gone to court regarding the facts of the case. The Fox lawsuit wasn't about that. It was about whether Fox had the right to report a lead by a hired investigator.

To make it simpler, just imagine if the parents had sued the police for speculating it was a robbery. The police had even less reason to speculate than Fox had to speculate about anything, since there was clear evidence against it. I would say that theory was disproved by the fact nothing was taken. So the parents could have chosen to be offended by that or to feel emotional distress from it. Nothing was stopping them. Was that grounds for a lawsuit? False or idiotic speculation. No. Just so with Fox. You will say the difference is, the Fox story made him look bad, by claiming he was linked to Julian Assange. The police story didn't. But for some people, the link to Julian Assange would be a good thing. Some people like him. So it isn't necessarily slander. And in fact, the robbery story *does* make Rich look bad, since it makes him look like a coddled little brat, wandering around DC in the middle of the night by himself blabbing on his phone to his girlfriend, as if he were invincible.

Here's a further problem: in the reports of the settlement with Fox, we are told the parents eventually won because the Wikileaks story was contradicted by US Intelligence reports. What? So are we supposed to believe the CIA showed up in court and testified to that effect? Was this second trial a jury trial, or just a bench trial? Who decided to believe the CIA here, and on what grounds? Is the CIA expected to tell the truth about such things? Does it have a record of telling the truth about such things, or anything? No and no, which is why the CIA is not called to decide court cases.

So this was all the usual circle jerk, created to blow smoke, continue to sell the murder as real, and create a series of distractions, so that no one could ever concentrate on the facts, as I am.

So let's back up and fill in some holes. Who is Ed Butowsky and why was he involved in this? His father was Chief Enforcement Officer of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Ed was a wealth manager for hundreds of millionaire athletes, and was also managing director at Bear Stearns—though Wiki refuses to tell us anything about that. Was he there when it went under, for instance?

What role did he play. I guess you can look that up yourself, but my guess is it won't look good for him. He later became a big hedge fund guy, so we have to wonder why he was so concerned about his low-level DNC pollster—using his own money to hire Wheeler. It doesn't make any sense, does it? He and Burkman were conservatives, so why were they getting involved?

Who is Jack Burkman? No DOB or parents at Wikipedia. His bio there starts in 2018, when **Surefire Intelligence** sent an email to law professor Jennifer Taub seeking dirt on Robert Mueller. She turned the matter over to the FBI. What is Surefire Intelligence? It is a company started by Burkman's partner Jack Wohl, Jewish of course. Together, Wiki tells us, **Burkman and Wohl “have allegedly been responsible for multiple unsuccessful plots to frame public figures for fictitious sexual assaults.”** So here's a question for you: why is this guy involved in the Rich investigation? Why did he offer \$130,000 of his own money as a reward? He is a conservative, so what does he care about this 27-year-old low-level pollster from the DNC? Burkman has allegedly been working hard to suppress voting, while Rich was working to encourage it, so what is the link there? Well, to start with, I assume he knew he would never have to pay any reward, since he knows Rich is alive. Rich may be working at the desk across the room from him in Langley. Burkman's entire bio, such as it is, screams “SPOOK”. Such as? Well, other than the name of his pal's organization—Surefire **Intelligence**—we have the fact that Burkman and Wohl allegedly took part in loads of illegal activities during the 2020 elections, and “face multiple criminal and civil suits” as well as “proposed” FCC fines. But as of today, they are free men. Nothing has happened and I predict nothing will. If anything does happen it will be like what happened to Epstein and Maxwell: fake trials and fake prisons. Just witness this:

**Also in October 2020, prosecutors in Cuyahoga County, Ohio indicted Burkman and Wohl on eight counts of telecommunications fraud and seven counts of bribery, for a possible 18 years in prison.**

That was almost two years ago, so do we have any updates on that? Nope. And notice the aces and eights, indicating we won't get any updates. Also notice that the FCC has “proposed” a fine. That is not the same as actually issuing a fine, or collecting it.

But regardless of all that, ask yourself again why this person was involved in the Rich event. Again, the only possible answer is that he is a spook hired to blow smoke here. You can tell this event was another fake by all the shady people involved. They all might as well be wearing CIA gimme caps, purple ties, and Phoenician belt buckles.

Who is Rod Wheeler? They tell you he is a homicide detective, but if he ever was, he hasn't been one since about 1990. According to LawandCrime.com, he quit the DC police force at a young age and began working in food safety. So, not exactly the Sherlock Holmes of stalled murder investigations. He had also been an on-air talking head for Fox long before he was involved in the Seth Rich hoax. Which of course is more indication his legal dust-up with Fox was staged.



One last thing to check. We are told Rich's parents were from Omaha, but if we look them up on the big people searches, we pull up only one Joel Rich of Omaha, and he is 36 and not linked to a Mary or a Seth. I could find no Joel Rich in the entire US related to a Mary or Seth. He is also scrubbed at Spokeo, which is strange because he used to be there. He has a Google listing, but when we take the link it has been scrubbed. MyLife lists him as Joel Irwin Rich, 74, of Omaha, also of Colorado Springs and Golden, CO, and Urbandale, IA. [This takes us to LinkedIn](#), where we find a Joel Rich of Omaha and Des Moines of the right age to be our man. He is not retired, still working for Graphic Technologies Inc aka Regal Printing in Omaha. He is formerly of Bankers Life. So that's a bit odd. He went from a huge bank to a little printing shop. In between he worked for 26 years for "business printing". What? Is that the name of the business? Looks like a dodge to me. So we will count that as another red flag. I take it to mean CIA is hiding behind printing fronts in Omaha.

And curiously, Mary also has lived in DC. We are never told that. She has lived there but her husband Joel hasn't? That's weird. She isn't linked to Joel in her lists, only to Seth. Also not linked to Seth's brother Aaron or anyone else, indicating her list has been scrubbed. We do learn one thing of value, however: her maiden name was Mary Ann Hopkins. She is 65.

In trying to tease out more info on her, I noticed she has Naperville, IL, on her list. On a lark, I typed in Mary Ann Hopkins nationwide, then narrowed it by searching in page for Naperville, afterwards. And I got a hit, possibly hitting on her mother, aunt, or other near relative. I found a Mary Anne Hopkins of Naperville, age 102, also of New Jersey and San Luis Obispo. She is aka Mary Korostowski. That is because her mother is apparently Madeline Korostowski, d. 1998, and she has a page at Findagrave, where the father is given as John, Sr., died 1976.

There is a big mistake on Mary's Instantcheckmate listing, since they accidentally list the Hopkins Trust as a relative. So if someone wants to take this further, you might start there.

We do know that both Seth's father and grandfather were head of the Beth El Synagogue in Omaha, with his grandfather founding it. Since that was in the 1930s, it seems that should be his great-grandfather.

Which leads us to search on Seth Rich of DC. He is there, related to Mary, but the computer doesn't link him to a Joel and doesn't know he is dead, since it is still aging him. It says he is 33. It also gives us some locations that aren't listed on his standard bio. Such as Billings, Montana. What was he doing in Billings? Was that before or after he died? Omaha is on his list, since that is where he went to college. He went to Creighton. What is Creighton? Oh, what do you know, it is a private Jesuit university. And why was this Jewish boy going to a Society of Jesus university? We aren't told. But the Jesuit thing again links us to spookery, as we know. Rich also has locations in Wisconsin and Illinois on his list. What is in Shanghai City, IL? Not a damn thing except the nearby Army Headquarters in Rock Island and Fulton.

I also looked up Rich's alleged girlfriend, Kelsey Mulka. She also has a listing for Arlington, VA, which of course leads where I have been going all along. She was a co-worker with Seth at Greenberg Quinlan Rosner, and more recently has worked for USAID, again supporting my thesis, since that is yet another CIA front. USAID has been tied to CIA by private researchers many times.

I looked up Joel Rich at IMDB on a lark. Guess what I found. He is there with a picture, but only in relation to this event. However, the name of the film is itself a clue: the film about Seth Rich's murder is named *Web of Make Believe: Death, Lies and the Internet*. That's a bit in-your-face, don't you think?

Also too on-the-nose is Seth Rich's appeal on Facebook to stop gun violence just two days before he was murdered. That's convenient, isn't it? Almost like they planned it? Two days before he died, Rich called for gun control, and two days after Hillary used his death to call for gun control. And you wonder why people think this was staged?