More Proof Searches are Rigged against Me

First published July 26, 2024

If you do a Bing search today on "Miles Mathis", the first thing that comes up on the front page after my two site indices is a short substack article from November of last year with only nine commenters, and none since May. This is a Microsoft project, since it doesn't come up on Google on the first five pages. The hits on this thing must be negligible, so explain to me how it can score being listed on the front page of this search, ahead of hundreds of my papers that are known to be superviral?

I will tell you why: he is selling the idea I am a "federal agent". This on a blog called Rainbow Pill from someone with the handle "gay troll". So he admits he is a troll from the top. He says he liked my *Turning 60* paper, but can't follow the rest of my work, despite having a 150+ IQ. Therefore it must be wrong. That's about the extent of it. Further down, we find his "real name" is Lleland Maxwell. In the about section on this Lleland Maxwell, we get zip. No bio, no idea where this guy came from. No proof or indication of his IQ, no credentials, nothing. A general search pulls up nobody in the world with that name, and no hits but this substack. But the surname Maxwell doesn't really give us a toasty feeling these days, does it?

My sites comprise about 140 volumes of research, so Maxwell's little paper of 13 short paragraphs is kind of outmatched, to put it nicely. He dismisses my 88-page PDF on Kennedy by saying I sell a later movie still as a photo of the motorcade, but his 150 IQ must have blinked out on that because it isn't even true. I admit in my paper that still is from a movie, so it is pretty odd this is all he could come up with. If we read more closely ourselves, we see that Maxwell didn't take that critique from his own reading, which was really lazy of him, wasn't it? He couldn't read my paper before commenting on it? No, he read Allan Weisbecker's commentary and passed it on to his readers without fact checking it. Embarrassing, eh? Why would this substack genius need to refer you to Weisbecker's fake analysis of me, and how could they both get it wrong when it is right there in black and white? But in any case, even if he and Weisbecker had been right that I had made a mistake there, that wouldn't negate my entire paper, would it? That is one photo of about 100, and one point of about 300. The "argument" is so weak it passes belief. He might as well claim that I misspelled a couple of words, proving I am a sloppy researcher, and as such must be limited hangout.

That is literally the level of polemics here, but he does that one better. He somehow twists my outing of fake events as "a cover for Zionism". As in *I am covering for Zionists*. How did he get there? Well, he doesn't get there, he just says it, out of the blue. Although he admits most of the events I blow the cover of are Jewish/Phoenician hoaxes, he flips that into me covering for Zionists. So I cover for Zionists by outing all their fake events in history, and making them look like the worst criminals on Earth? OK. Makes sense to me boss.

What he is really doing is testing the intelligence of his audience, which he assumes is about nil. He is hoping they will believe I am a limited hangout covering for Jews, while he is a real Zionist hunter. That is an intelligence test since it relies on you not knowing the Maxwells are Jewish themselves. Robert Maxwell the media mogul and fraud (and father of Ghislaine Maxwell, wife of the Jewish Jeffrey Epstein) was really a cloaked Jew, born Jan Hoch. But even if we ignore him, since we have no evidence our Lleland is from those recent lines (although we have no evidence he isn't), all the other prominent Maxwells are cloaked Jews as well, being closely related to the Stuarts, Hamiltons, Murrays, Campbells, and everyone else at the top of the Scottish/British peerage. If you don't believe me, see

When Scotland was Jewish, by Jewish authors, who admit these families are Jewish.



That's Sir John Maxwell, 2nd baronet, as just one obvious example of thousands.

Also funny is that Lleland Maxwell sells himself as the real Zionist hunter while I am limited hangout, but he quotes Allan Weisbecker in his one substantive point in his post, as we saw above. Well, Weisbecker is admittedly Jewish and—before he died recently—a big lover of Israel. He worked in Hollywood, being a writer for *Miami Vice*. Why is Maxwell quoting him and linking to him? So although it is easy to compile evidence Maxwell and Weisbecker are the hangouts, and impossible to do so for me since I'm not, they simply state the opposite, put it in the title, and illegally promote it to the front page of the search.

Also curious is that Weisbecker is said to have died in October of 2023, and this non-person Lleland Maxwell rose from Zombieland in November. If Weisbecker is really dead, they must have assigned his failed project to this GayTroll. Good call, guys! That should really kick it into high gear!

Plus, I am reminded that—as with RationalWiki and some other sites—Weisbecker was also strangely promoted to front-page positions on all searches on me, despite having miserable numbers and no real arguments. Weisbecker's prime argument against me was that I used Britishisms. Yeah. Devastating. If possible, Maxwell's are even weaker. Looks like they need to graduate to someone with a 200 IQ.

Also look out for some other nameless and bio-less troll with the handle fakenukesphil, who hangs out at Fakeologist. He has been hired to parallel me, seeming to promote my ideas, while blowing smoke all over me. To see what I mean by a parallel, see this paper, where I explain how it works. One of the things he does is repeat my Kennedy theory, then add crap to it like Jacqueline Kennedy being a man. In other words, he does everything he can to get you *off* the theory, using all the old tricks. It's pretty sad, but this is all they have. No one is following him, but they try to fake his numbers to make it look like someone is, like all the bozos at youtube and RatWiki and so on. They can't answer me straight up, since everyone knows I am right, so all they can do is suppress me with delisting tricks, and

promote to the skies these agents blackwashing me, by faking their audiences and then uplisting them to the top of my own searches. But hey, cheaters gonna cheat. I should really send him a thank you card, though, since he is just sending more people to me. These projects have been backfiring on them for years, but they never figure that out. They are just following orders from Langley or somewhere, and the orders are to engage me without engaging me. Run all the usual disinfo projects against me. They have always worked before, so they can't figure out why they aren't working this time.